

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF MAY ✓
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE ✓

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI ✓
CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1139 OF 2025 ✓

Between:

Pokala Seetha Ratnamma, W/o Sreenivasa Venkata Ramana, Aged about 59 years, Agriculture, R/o Challapalli Village & Mandal, Krishna District.

...Petitioner
(Petitioner/Plaintiff)

AND

Mopidevi Dhana Lakshmi, W/o Ramulu, Aged about 77 years, Properties, R/o D.No.7-135, Rajaka Veedhi, Islam Nagar, 7th Ward, Challapalli Village & Mandal, Krishna District. ✓

...Respondent
(Respondent/Defendant) ✓

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate SRI A SYAM SUNDAR REDDY presented this Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, Aggrieved by the dismissal of I.A.No.472/2024 in O.S.No.01/2017 on the file of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Avanigadda. ✓

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri A SYAM SUNDAR REDDY Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this CIVIL REVISION PETITION should not be admitted. ✓

You viz:

Mopidevi Dhana Lakshmi, W/o Ramulu, Aged about 77 years, Properties, R/o D.No.7-135, Rajaka Veedhi, Islam Nagar, 7th Ward, Challapalli Village & Mandal, Krishna District.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate, as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CIVIL REVISION PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following: ORDER

“Heard Sri A.Syam Sundar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner is the plaintiff. She filed the suit for grant of decree of declaration to declare that the plaintiff is the absolute owner of the plaint schedule property by cancellation of revocation deed dated 29.11.2016 executed by the defendant herself for plaint schedule property as also for the consequential permanent injunction. In the said suit, she filed I.A.No.59 of 2022 for amendment in the prayer clause. The same has been dismissed by an order dated 29.11.2024, which is impugned in C.R.P.No.1165 of 2025. The defendant sought to recover the possession.
3. The plaintiff also filed I.A.No.472 of 2024 for deletion of certain part of the prayer already made. The said application has also been rejected. Challenging the same, C.R.P.No.1139 of 2025 has been filed.
4. Issue notice to the respondent.
5. In addition to the normal mode of service, the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent by registered post and acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the Registry before the next date of listing.
6. List after Summer Vacation, 2025.”

Sd/- K. KASIRAO ACHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Mopidevi Dhana Lakshmi, W/o Ramulu, Aged about 77 years, Properties, R/o D.No.7-135, Rajaka Veedhi, Islam Nagar, 7th Ward, Challapalli Village & Mandal, Krishna District. **(by RPAD)**
2. One CC to SRI. A SYAM SUNDAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One spare copy

KN

HIGH COURT

RNT,J

DATED:09/05/2025

LIST AFTER SUMMER VACATION, 2025

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRP.No.1139 of 2025

