



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

TUESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER -
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE -

: PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.KRISHNA MOHAN

W.P.No.12824 OF 2022

Between:

Chethan Singh Bhayal, S/o Raghunadh Singh Bhayal, aged about 46 years,
R/o D. No 27-17-55, JD Road, Vijayawada 520 002.

...Petitioner/Petitioner

AND

1. State of AP, through its Prl. Secretary, MA and UD Dept., Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur Dt.
2. Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, through its Commissioner, Vijayawada
3. The City Planner, Municipal Corporation, Vijayawada.

...Respondents/ Respondents

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the proceedings Rs. TBPOVII-UC No.03/2019 Dt:14.08.2021 and Dt:28.04.2022 issued in the name of the respondent No.2 signed by the Respondent No.3, as illegal and violative of Article 14 and 300-A of Constitution of India besides being violative of A.P.Municipal Corporation Act 1955 and without jurisdiction, directing no to proceed further,

I.A.No.1 OF 2022

Petition Under Section 151 of CPC is filed Praying that in the Circumstances Stated in Affidavit filed in Support of the Writ Petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the proceedings Rs.TBPOVII-UC No.03/2019 Dt:14.08.2021 and Dt:28.04.2022 issued in the name of the respondent No.2 signed by the Respondent No.3, Pending disposal of WP No.12824 of 2022, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the Orders of the High Court dated 29.04.2022, 06.09.2022, 11.10.2022, 23.11.2022, 14.12.2022, 25.01.2023, 06.02.2023, 04.04.2023, 19.04.2023, 24.04.2023, 28.04.2023, 03.07.2023 & 17.07.2023 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri V.S.R. Anjaneyulu, Learned Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Karumanchi Indraneel Babu, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP for Municipal Administration for Respondent No.1 and Sri. G.Naresh Kumar, Advocate, representing Sri. M. Manohar Reddy, Standing Counsel for Respondent Nos. 2&3, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

No representation for the petitioner. ✓

List on 10.10.2023, finally, to decide the implead application. ✓

Interim order granted earlier by this court dated 29.04.2022 is extended till then. ✓

Sd/- M. PRABAKAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

10
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri. Karumanchi Indraneel Babu, Advocate [OPUC] ✓
2. Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration & Urban Development, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT] ✓
3. One CC to Sri M.Manohar Reddy, Standing Counsel [OPUC] ✓
4. One spare copy

NBR

HIGH COURT

BKM ,J

DATED:03.10.2023

List on 10.10.2023

ORDER

WP NO: 12824 OF 2022

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

