

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

IA No. 1 OF 2026

IN

SA NO: 309 OF 2026



Between:

1. P. KRISHNAMA NAIDU, S/o. Late Thirumala Naidu, Aged 60 years
2. P. Babu Naidu, S/o. Late Thirumala Naidu, Aged 56 years. Both are R/o. M. Agaram Village, Sivada Post, Vijayapuram Mandai, Chittoor District.

Appellants/Respondents/Plaintiffs

AND

1. P. Madhu Naidu, S/o. Late Thirumala Naidu, aged 63 years, R/o. M. Agaram Village, Sivada Post, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District.

Respondent/Appellant/1st Defendant

2. P. Balaji Naidu, S/o Late Thirumala Naidu, Aged 58 Years,
 3. P. Jamuna, (Died)
 4. P. Subramanyam Naidu, S/o P. Munaswamy Naidu, Aged 64 Years,
 5. P. Prakash, S/o. P. Subramanyam Naidu, Aged about 37 Years,
- Respondent Nos.2, 4 & 5 are R/o. M. Agaram Village, Sivada Post, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District

...Respondent(s)/Respondents/Defendant Nos.2,4 & 5

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI V SUDHAKAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent : ---

Petition Under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC r/w Sec.151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the judgment and decree dt. 17.09.2025 passed by the learned III Additional District

Judge, Tirupati and such other order or orders in the interests of justice Pending disposal of SA No. 309 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case). The Court made the following

ORDER

“This petition is filed to suspend the operation of the judgment and decree dated 17.09.2025 passed by the learned III Additional District Judge, Tirupathi.

Considering the submissions made and inview of the substantial questions of law and also the reversing judgment, the matter requires adjudication. Hence, there shall be interim suspension as prayed for.

Issue notice to respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondents through RPAD and file proof of service in the Registry.”

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- K. KASIRAO ACHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. P. MADHU NAIDU, S/o. Late Thirumala Naidu, aged 63 years, R/o. M. Agaram Village, Sivada Post, Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District
2. P. Balaji Naidu, S/o Late Thirumala Naidu, Aged 58 Years,
3. P. Subramanyam Naidu, S/o. P. Munaswamy Naidu, Aged 64 Years,
4. P. Prakash, S/o. P. Subramanyam Naidu, Aged about 37 Years,
Respondent Nos.2, 4 85 5 are R/o. M. Agaram Village, Sivada Post,
Vijayapuram Mandal, Chittoor District(By RPAD 1 to 4)
5. One CC to SRI. V SUDHAKAR REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
6. One spare copy

TVSR

HIGH COURT

NJS,J

DATED: 24/04/2026

LIST ON 10.07.2026

ORDER

IA No. 1 OF 2026

IN

SA NO: 309 OF 2026

INTERIM SUSPENSION

