

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF JULY TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI.ARUP KUMAR GOSWAMI

AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

WRIT PETITION NO: 12576 OF 2021

Between:

R.Pitchanna, s/o R.Subbanna, aged about 57 Years, Stenographer Gr.I, Principal District Judge's Court, Kadapa, now working on Deputation in the Court of III Additional District Judge, Rajampet, Kadapa District.

Petitioner**AND**

1. The Registrar,(Admn.),High Court of Andhra Pradesh, At Amaravathi, Vijayawada.
2. The District Judge, Kadapa.
3. D.S.Riyaz Ahmed, s/o not known, Stenographer (Retd.), VI Addl. District Court, Kadapa.
4. S.V.Raghava Sai Kumar, s/o not known, Stenographer Gr.I, now working in 1st Additional District Judge's Court, Kadapa.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri.G.U.R.C.Prasad presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus challenging the order, dated 4.10.2018 passed by the 2nd respondent and the consequential order in the appeal, dated 31.03.2021 passed by the 1st respondent rejecting the appeal, without assigning any reasons as illegal, arbitrary and in violation of the principles of natural justice and violation of A.P. Judicial Ministerial Service Rules and A.P State Subordinate Service Rules and A.P. State Fundamental Rules and Article 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently, to set aside the orders of the respondents-1 and 2 and direct the 2nd respondent to fix the seniority over and above respondents-3 and 4 in the light of Rule 15 of the A.P. Ministerial Service Rules;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri.G.U.R.C.Prasad Advocate for the Petitioner and of Mr.P.S.P.Suresh Kumar, learned Standing Counsel, appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 2, directed issue of notice to the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 herein **returnable in two months** to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. D.S.Riyaz Ahmed, Stenographer (Retd.), VI Addl. District Court, Kadapa.
2. S.V.Raghava Sai Kumar, Stenographer Gr.I, now working in 1st Additional District Judge's Court, Kadapa.

are directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate, as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted on or before 02.09.2021, on which date the case stands posted for hearing.

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

(Through Video-Conferencing)

Heard Mr.G.U.R.C.Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Also heard Mr.P.S.P.Suresh Kumar, learned Standing Counsel, appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Issue notice, returnable in two months.

No formal steps are called for so for as respondent Nos.1 and 2 are concerned as they are duly represented. However, extra copies be furnished.

Steps on respondent Nos.3 and 4 by registered post with acknowledgment due.

**SD/-U.SRIDEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

- 1. The Registrar, (Admn.), High Court of Andhra Pradesh, At Amaravathi, Vijayawada.**
- 2. The District Judge, Kadapa.**
- 3. D.S.Riyaz Ahmed, Stenographer (Retd.), VI Addl. District Court, Kadapa.**
- 4. S.V.Raghava Sai Kumar, Stenographer Gr.I, now working in 1st Additional District Judge's Court, Kadapa. (2 to 4 by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)**
- 5. One CC to Sri.G.U.R.C.Prasad Advocate [OPUC]**
- 6. One CC to Sri.P.S.P.Suresh Kumar, learned Standing Counsel [OPUC]**
- 7. Two spare copies**

SRL

1906-1907

HIGH COURT

HCJ & NJSJ

DATED:02/07/2021

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.12576 of 2021

