



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 10676/2026

Between:

- 1.A.SUNITHA,, W/O A.RAVINDRANATH REDDY, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, HINDU, CULTIVATION, R/O D.NO.12 - 140 - 182, VPS NAGAR, BUKKARAYA SAMUDRAM VILLAGE AND MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
- 2.V.SWARNA LAKSHMI,, W/O V.C.VENKATA RAMANA, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, HINDU, CULTIVATION, R/O D.NO.18- 1 - 125, VENUGOPAL NAGAR, OLD TOWN, ANANTAPUR TOWN.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.522238
- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.515001
- 3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, ANANTAPUR.515001
- 4.THE TAHSILDAR, BUKKARAYA SAMUDRAM MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.515701
- 5.MEDA NAGARAJ, S/O MEDA SUBRAMANYAM, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, HINDU, CHARTEREDACCOUNTANT,MEDA MANSIONS, SAPTHAGIRI CIRCLE, ANANTAPUR TOWN.515001
- 6.MEDA RAMANATHAM, S/O SUBBAIAH SETTY, AGED ABOUT 77

YEARS, HINDU, BUSINESS, F O D.NO.11 /165, MEDA MANSIONS,
SAPTHAGIRI CIRCLE, ANANTAPUR TOWN.515001

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Anantapur Respondent No.3 herein in entertaining an appeal numbered as R.C.No.739 of 2025, with regard to the property in Sy.No.8 to an extent of Ac.6.70 Cents, Govindam Palli Village, Bukkaraya Samudram Mandal, Anantapur, as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violative of Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India, violative of the Andhra Pradesh Rights In Land And Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and the rules made thereunder, consequently dismiss the appeal pending before the Revenue Divisional Officer, Anantapur Respondent No.3 vide R.C.No.739 of 2025 and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in R.C.No.739 of 2025 on the file of Revenue Divisional Officer, Anantapur / Respondent No.3 and pass such

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the Respondents herein to maintain status quo and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.VIVEKANANDA VIRUPAKSHA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. The issuance of notices to the respondent Nos.5 and 6 is dispensed with as no prejudice would cause to them even if it is disposed of in their absence.

3. This writ petition is filed questioning the order passed by the 3rd respondent dated 05.05.2025 in entertaining the appeal filed by the unofficial respondents herein in Rc.No.B/739/2025 with regard to the property in Sy.No.8 to an extent of Ac.6.70 cents of Govindampalli Village of Bukkarayasamudram Mandal, Ananthapuram District.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the above said appeal is being entertained after a long lapse of time, which is even barred by limitation. But at the behest of the respondent Nos.5 and 6 herein, the above said appeal was taken on file and notices were issued by the 3rd respondent. There is a Civil Suit pending between the parties concerned before the competent Civil Court. The petitioners herein filed written statement/objections, enclosing the necessary citations on the aspect of jurisdiction of the said Appellate Authority. Without considering the same, the respondent authorities concerned may show highhanded action over the subject property and as such this writ petition is filed.

5. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 submits that since it is only a notice issued by the Appellate Authority and that too when the objections/written statement was filed by the petitioners, the same would be considered by the said authority, following the due procedure.

6. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, as seen above, the appeal of the respondent Nos.5 and 6 is pending before the 3rd respondent, wherein, the petitioners herein also filed written objections both on facts and law, hence it is just and necessary to enable the Appellate Authority to take a decision in accordance with law. For the said purpose, the 3rd respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the above said appeal covered under the impugned order of the 3rd respondent dated 05.05.2025, strictly in accordance with law, by hearing all the parties concerned, including the petitioners, unofficial respondents herein and others concerned, giving due opportunity, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of this order. Pending the above said appeal, there shall be an order of *status quo* as on today to be maintained with respect to the mutations of the names of the parties concerned over the subject land covered under the above said impugned order of the 3rd respondent dated 05.05.2025.

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

22.04.2026
PGT