



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

**TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1761/2025

Between:

1. PANIDAPU SUBBA RAO, , S/O. CHINNA KOTAIAH, AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-235, RAJAMPALLI VILLAGE, DARSI MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. A THAMEEM ANSARIYA, , D/O. NOT KNOWN. AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS. OCCUPATION. WORKING AS DISTRICT COLLECTOR, PRAKASAM DISTRICT AT ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

2. M SARVAN KUMAR, S/O. NOT KNOWN. AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, WORKING AS THE TAHSILDAR (MRO), DARSI MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

3. SMT K LAKSHMI PRASANNA, D/ O NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER WORKING AS REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, ADDANKI REVENUE DIVISION, ADDANKI, NEAR R AND B BUILDING, BUNGLAW ROAD, ADDANKI, PRAKASAM DISTRICT, A.P. 523201 R 3 IS IMPEADED AS PER COURT ORDER DATED 12.03.2026, VIDE IA NO-1 OF 2026 IN CC NO-1761 OF 2025

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to issue contempt notice against the respondents for

failure comply the orders dated 25.10.2024 in W.P. No.24222/2024 passed by this Hon'ble Court directing the 3rd respondent to consider the petitioner's application dated 24.03.2023, seeking deletion of his land to an extent of Ac.03.13 cents in Sy.No.202 of Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District from the list of prohibited properties with reference to the enquiry report of the 5th respondent as expeditiously as possible i.e., within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order consequently to initiate the contempt of the punishment against the respondents and to pass orders,

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to implead the Proposed Respondents No. 3 as the Respondents No. 3 in CC.No. 1761 of 2025 in WP No 24222/2024 for proper adjudication of the said writ petition, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.SRINIVASULU P

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.VENKATA SAI KRISHNA PONNURU

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA**CONTEMPT CASE NO:1761 OF 2025****ORDER:**

This contempt case is filed against the respondents for willful disobedience of the orders dated 25.10.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.No.24222 of 2024.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

3. The claim of the petitioner herein is that this Court passed final orders dated 25.10.2024 in W.P.No.24222 of 2024 directing the respondent No.3 to consider the application of the petitioner dated 24.03.2023 in which the petitioner sought for deletion of his land to an extent of Ac.03.13 cents in Sy.No.202 of Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District from the list of prohibited properties under Section 22(A) of the Registration Act, 1908. This Court specifically directed the respondents to consider and dispose of the representation/ application of the petitioner with reference to the report of the respondent No.4 within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Even though the time already lapsed as directed by this Court, the respondents did not choose to pass any orders by considering the application of the petitioner as directed. Hence, the present contempt case.

4. Pending contempt case, respondent No.1 herein furnished written instructions dated 02.01.2026 and 15.06.2026, wherein, it is stated that the

application submitted by the petitioner seeking for deletion of his land from the prohibited property list was considered and rejected. It is further stated that the order passed by the respondent No.1 is an appealable order before the Special Chief Secretary and Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, within 60 days from the date of receipt of the order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even though the respondents are specifically directed for deletion of the subject land from the prohibitory properties list, the respondent No.1 rejected the claim of the petitioner contrary to the report of the respondent No.4.

6. Heard both the learned counsel. For determination of the issue before this Court that the respondents have committed any contempt by disobeying the orders of this Court, it is appropriate to extract the orders dated 25.10.2024 passed by this Court in W.P.No.24222 of 2024. The operative portion of the said order is extracted hereunder:-

“In reply to the same, learned Government Pleader for Revenue representing respondent Nos. 1, 3 to 5 submits that, since the petitioner submitted his application dated 24.03.2023, seeking deletion of his land to an extent of Ac.03.13 cents in Sy.No.202 of Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District from the list of prohibitory properties, the respondent- authorities will consider the same after conducting a detailed enquiry and by considering the report dated 19.05.2023 submitted by the respondent No.4 to the respondent No.3.

Taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government pleader for

Revenue, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition, directing the respondent No.3 to consider the petitioner's application dated 24.03.2023, seeking deletion of his land to an extent of Ac.03.13 cents in Sy.o.202 of Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District from the list of prohibited properties with reference to the enquiry report of the respondent No.5 as expeditiously as possible i.e., within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. On perusal of the order of this Court, it is observed that this Court specifically directed the respondents to consider the application submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order. In view of the written instructions, it is observed that the respondent No.1 passed an order rejecting the application submitted by the petitioner seeking for deletion of the subject land from the list of the prohibited properties under Section 22-A of the Registration Act, 1908. Therefore, in view of the orders passed by the 1st respondent, the orders of this court are complied with. However, if the petitioner has any grievance against rejection orders, the same can be assailed by way of appropriate proceedings.

8. With the above observations, the Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

16.06.2026
BSP

101

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

(closed)

Contempt Case No.1761 of 2025

16.06.2026
BSP