

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)



FRIDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JULY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1761 OF 2025

Between:

Panidapu Subba Rao, S/o. Chinna Kotaiah, Aged about 66 years, R/o. D.No.3-235, Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.

...Petitioner

AND

1. A.Thameem Ansariya, D/o. Not known, Aged about 35 years, Occupation: Working as District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.
2. M.Sarvan Kumar, S/o. Not known, Aged about 40 years, working as The Tahsildar (MRO), Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, above case through his counsel Sri Pitchuka Srinivas, praying that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents herein for failure to comply with the orders dated 25.10.2024 in W.P.No.24222 of 2024 passed by this Hon'ble Court directing the 3rd respondent to consider the petitioner's application dated 24.03.2023, seeking deletion of his land to an extent of Ac.03.13 cents in Sy.No.202 of Rajampalli Village, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District from the list of prohibited properties with reference to the enquiry report of the 5th respondent as expeditiously as possible i.e., within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of a

copy of this order consequently to initiate the contempt of the punishment against the respondents;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for orders as to admission on this day and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Pitchuka Srinivas, Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issuance of Notice to the respondents, returnable in four(4) weeks, to show cause as to why in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support thereof, the said case should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. A.Thameem Ansariya, Aged about 35 years, Occupation: Working as District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.
2. M.Sarvan Kumar, Aged about 40 years, working as The Tahsildar (MRO), Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.

be and hereby are directed to show cause, as to why this contempt case should not be admitted, either appearing in person or through Advocate duly instructed on 29.08.2025, to which date the case stands posted for hearing, failing wherein the said case will be heard and determined ex-parte.

SD/- M.RAMESH BABU

DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The District Judge, Ongole, Prakasam District. (By RPAD- in duplicate along with a copy of petition and affidavit to serve on respondents and to return to this Court on 29.08.2025)
2. A.Thameem Ansariya, Aged about 35 years, Occupation: Working as District Collector, Prakasam District at Ongole, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.

3. M.Sarvan Kumar, Aged about 40 years, working as The Tahsildar (MRO), Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.(Addressee Nos. 2 and 3 by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)

4. One spare copy.

PSD

HIGH COURT

NV,J

DATED:18/07/2025

NOTE: LIST THE MATTER ON 29.08.2025

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CC.No.1761 of 2025

