

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No. W.P. No.10662 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	21.04.2026	<u>BKM,J</u> Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice to the 7th respondent and proof of service shall be filed within a period of three (3) weeks from today. In the meanwhile, the other respondents shall file their counters. The learned Assistant Government Pleader takes notice for the respondent Nos.1 to 5. Print the name of the Government Pleader for Home for the 6th respondent. This writ petition is filed questioning the order of the 3rd respondent dated 16.03.2026 in confirming the order of the 4th respondent dated 31.07.2025 cancelling the mutations of the petitioners in the revenue records over the subject lands by inserting the name of the unofficial respondent herein. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader relying upon the written instructions of the 2nd respondent submits that after demise of Sri Chandanala Rajarao, his son Sri Chandanala Jogarao became the rightful Class-I legal heir of the pattadar. Subsequently, after his death, his wife Smt. Chandanala Padmavathi succeeded as the legal heir and all the revenue records were mutated in her favour vide Khata	Contd..

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		No.621 of Neradi Revenue Village. On verification of the webland history, it is observed that the land in Sy.No.277/10 to an extent of Ac.0.44 cents was entered in the LRMIS legacy data during the year 2012 in the name of the 7th respondent. During the year 2021, the said land was alienated in favour of the 1st petitioner and his name was entered in the revenue records fraudulently without any valid registered conveyance. The 1st petitioner failed to submit any registered document relating to the above said land. He has got only a copy of unregistered tenancy document which is not valid for mutation of land in the revenue records. Subsequently, the said land was transferred by the 1st petitioner in favour of the 2nd petitioner through a registered gift deed vide document No.1026/2025 in the office of the SRO, Hiramandalam contrary to the provisions of law. The land was auto mutated in the name of the 2nd petitioner vide Khata No.2377 of Neradi Village. He also refers to the order of the 3rd respondent dated 16.03.2026 wherein it was observed that as per the orders of the 4th respondent, the 5th respondent restored the name of the 7th respondent in the revenue records through mutation dated 12.09.2025. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, as it requires detailed consideration with regard to the validity of the impugned orders passed by the respondent Nos.3 & 4 respectively, the detailed counters are required to be filed by the respondents, for which notice is also ordered to the 7th respondent.	Contd...

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Pending further orders, there shall be an order of <i>status quo</i> as on today to be maintained with respect to the mutation of the names of the parties concerned for the subject land covered under the impugned order of the 3rd respondent dated 16.03.2026. The written instructions of the 2nd respondent dated 21.04.2026 shall be made as part of the court record. List on 16.06.2026. NNN <u>BKM,J</u>	