

[3328]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 10594 OF 2026

Between:

P. Dasardharami Reddy, S/o.Krishna Reddy Aged about 59 years, Occ:
Municipal Vice-Chairman R/o. Near RTO Office, Rayachoty Town,
Annamayya District

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its Principal Secretary Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.522238
2. The Rayachoty Municipality, Rayachoty, Annamayya District, rep., by its Commissioner.516269
3. Rami Reddy, S/o. Palakakolanu, Aged about 49 years, Occ Commissioner, Rayachoty Municipality, Rayachoty, Annamayya District.516269
4. The Deputy Executive Engineer, APSPDCL Operation Sub-Division, Rayachoty, Annamayya District.516269

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the Letter dated 18.04.2026 addressed by the respondent No.2 to the respondent No.4 in directing him to

disconnect the power supply to PDR Function Hall situated in Sy.No.553, Rayachoty Town and Mandal, Annamayya District, belongs to me, as a retaliatory act as I obtained an interim order in Writ Petition No. 10179 of 2026 when the respondent No.2 raising construction over the land in an extent of Ac. 0.58 Cents in Sy.No.789/2 of Rayachoty Village, Rayachoty Mandal, Annamayya District during the subsistence of an order of status quo on 01.10.2018 in O.S.No. 225 of 2018 on the file of Court of the Junior Judge (Civil Division), Rayachoty as arbitrary, illegal, without power or authority, colourable exercise of power and the well-established legal principles, apart from being violative of the fundamental and the Constitutional rights guaranteed to me under Articles 14, 19, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently set aside the letter, dated 18.04.2026 addressed by the respondent No.2 to the respondent No.4.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of the letter, dated 18.04.2026 addressed by the respondent No.2 to the Respondent No.4, Pending disposal of WP 10594 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI V R REDDY KOVVURI, Advocate for the Petitioner, learned GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT for the Respondent No.1, Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2, Sri K.V. RAMA RAO, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.4, the Court made the following

ORDER:

Heard Sri V.R. Reddy Kovvuri, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner, Sri Venkata Rama Rao Kota, learned Standing Counsel for APSPDCL, Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities for Rayalaseema, Nellore and Prakasam Districts and Sri Mortha Srinu Babu learned Assistant Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development.

2. Tag this Writ Petition with W.P.No.10179 of 2026.

3. W.P.No.10179 of 2026 is also incidentally listed today as Item No.36 although, the last Order indicated that it would be listed tomorrow i.e., on 21.04.2026. This Court has perused the Interim Order passed by this Court in W.P.No.10179 of 2026 dated 17.04.2026. This Court has also perused the Interim Order passed by another learned Single Judge dated 31.01.2025 in I.A.No.1 of 2025 in W.P.No.2593 of 2025 (Ex.P.6).

4. Learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner has taken this Court through the photographs as well as the impugned Proceedings issued by the Commissioner, Rayachoty Municipality dated 18.04.2026 (Ex.P.1).

5. Para No.3 of the previous Proceeding vide Order dated 17.04.2026 in W.P.No.10179 of 2026 is usefully extracted hereunder:

“3. Sri P. Vengal Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation, is directed to obtain Written Instructions from the Commissioner as to why this Court should not direct the Trial Court, namely the Civil Court (Junior Division), Rayachoty, to initiate Proceedings for Contempt against Defendant No.3 therein for the willful violation of the Interim Order passed the learned Single Judge dated 01.10.2018.”

6. This Court has noticed that that the impugned Proceeding dated 18.04.2026 (Ex.P.1) herein, which does not indicate that the Respondent Corporation has initiated any Proceeding against the Writ Petitioner herein for construction of P.D.R Convention Hall without obtaining approval from the Municipality.

7. The Proceeding would only indicate that the Commissioner has requested the Deputy Executive Engineer, APSPDCL, Rayachoty Division to disconnect the power supply to the P.D.R Convention Hall for violation of the pre-determined for disconnection of power supply without initiating any previous proceeding for the alleged violation, if any.

8. For the reasons aforesaid, there shall be a direction to all the parties to maintain Status-quo obtaining as on today till the next listing.

9. Sri Venkata Rama Rao Kota, learned Standing Counsel for APSPDCL appearing for Respondent No.4 would submit that the power connection has not yet been disconnected. Therefore, he is directed to inform to the concerned Respondent as regards the interim direction to maintain Status-quo in order to prevent disconnection.

10. Prima-facie, it appears to the Court that the issue involves something more than what meets eye, inasmuch as the Public Servant holding a rank of Commissioner of Municipality had ventured to straight away issue a direction to the Department of Electricity to disconnect the power supply to the P.D.R Convention Hall, without there being any previous Proceeding. This fact is reflected from the impugned Proceeding itself, since the said Commissioner does not refer to any previous Proceeding. Therefore, the Commissioner, Rayachoty Municipality, Annamayya District is directed to appear before this Court on 22.04.2024.

11. Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities for Rayalaseema, Nellore and Prakasam Districts is directed to convey the gist of this Order to Respondent Nos.2 & 3 forthwith for effective compliance.

12. The information supplied by Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities for Rayalaseema, Nellore and Prakasam Districts to Respondent Nos.2 & 3 shall be treated as a deemed notice to the Official Respondent No.3 as well.

13. List the matters on 22.04.2024 in the Motion List.

Sd/-U.SRIDEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Commissioner, Rayachoty Municipality, Annamayya District (BY SPEED POST) (Direction to appear before this Court on 22.04.2024)
2. One CC to SRI. V R REDDY KOVVURI Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri K.V. RAMA RAO, STANDING COUNSEL [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, STANDING COUNSEL (BY SPECIAL MESSENGER)
6. One spare copy

Kj

HIGH COURT

GRKP,J

DATED:20/04/2026

LIST THE MATTERS ON 22.04.2024 IN THE MOTION LIST

ORDER

WP.No.10594 of 2026

APPEARANCE

