



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 10594 OF 2026

Between:

1. P DASARDHARAMI REDDY, S/o.Krishna Reddy Aged about 59 years,
Occ Municipal Vice-Chairman R/o. Near RTO Office, Rayachoty Town,
Annamayya District

...Petitioner

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, rep., by its Principal Secretary
Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi,
Amaravathi, Guntur District.522238

2. The Rayachoty Municipality, Rayachoty, Annamayya District, rep., by its
Commissioner.516269

3. Rami Reddy, S/o. Palakakolanu, Aged about 49 years, Occ Commissioner,
Rayachoty Municipality, Rayachoty, Annamayya District.516269

4. The Deputy Executive Engineer, APSPDCL Operation Sub-Division,
Rayachoty, Annamayya District.516269

...Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner: V R REDDY KOVVURI

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
AP**

The Court made the following ORAL ORDER: (LUNCH MOTION)

Heard Sri V.R. Reddy Kovvuri, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner, Sri Venkata Rama Rao Kota, learned Standing Counsel for APSPDCL, Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities for Rayalaseema, Nellore and Prakasam Districts and Sri Mortha Srinu Babu learned Assistant Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development.

2. Tag this Writ Petition with W.P.No.10179 of 2026.

3. W.P.No.10179 of 2026 is also incidentally listed today as Item No.36 although, the last Order indicated that it would be listed tomorrow i.e., on 21.04.2026. This Court has perused the Interim Order passed by this Court in W.P.No.10179 of 2026 dated 17.04.2026. This Court has also perused the Interim Order passed by another learned Single Judge dated 31.01.2025 in I.A.No.1 of 2025 in W.P.No.2593 of 2025 (Ex.P.6).

4. Learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner has taken this Court through the photographs as well as the impugned Proceedings issued by the Commissioner, Rayachoty Municipality dated 18.04.2026 (Ex.P.1).

5. Para No.3 of the previous Proceeding vide Order dated 17.04.2026 in W.P.No.10179 of 2026 is usefully extracted hereunder:

“3. Sri P. Vengal Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation, is directed to obtain Written Instructions from the Commissioner as to why this Court should not direct the Trial Court, namely the Civil Court (Junior Division), Rayachoty, to initiate Proceedings for Contempt against Defendant No.3 therein for the willful violation of the Interim Order passed the learned Single Judge dated 01.10.2018.”

6. This Court has noticed that that the impugned Proceeding dated 18.04.2026 (Ex.P.1) herein, which does not indicate that the Respondent Corporation has initiated any Proceeding against the Writ Petitioner herein for

construction of P.D.R Convention Hall without obtaining approval from the Municipality.

7. The Proceeding would only indicate that the Commissioner has requested the Deputy Executive Engineer, APSPDCL, Rayachoty Division to disconnect the power supply to the P.D.R Convention Hall for violation of the pre-determined for disconnection of power supply without initiating any previous proceeding for the alleged violation, if any.

8. For the reasons aforesaid, there shall be a direction to all the parties to maintain Status-quo obtaining as on today till the next listing.

9. Sri Venkata Rama Rao Kota, learned Standing Counsel for APSPDCL appearing for Respondent No.4 would submit that the power connection has not yet been disconnected. Therefore, he is directed to inform to the concerned Respondent as regards the interim direction to maintain Status-quo in order to prevent disconnection.

10. *Prima-facie*, it appears to the Court that the issue involves something more than what meets eye, inasmuch as the Public Servant holding a rank of Commissioner of Municipality had ventured to straight away issue a direction to the Department of Electricity to disconnect the power supply to the P.D.R Convention Hall, without there being any previous Proceeding. This fact is reflected from the impugned Proceeding itself, since the said Commissioner does not refer to any previous Proceeding. Therefore, the Commissioner, Rayachoty Municipality, Annamayya District is directed to appear before this Court on 22.04.2024.

11. Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities for Rayalaseema, Nellore and Prakasam Districts is directed to convey the gist of this Order to Respondent Nos.2 & 3 forthwith for effective compliance.

12. The information supplied by Sri Gudapati Lakshmi Narayana, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities for Rayalaseema, Nellore and Prakasam Districts to Respondent Nos.2 & 3 shall be treated as a deemed notice to the Official Respondent No.3 as well.

13. List the matters on 22.04.2024 in the Motion List.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt: 20.04.2025

Note: Issue C.C by today

B/O : JKS