

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: CrI.P.No.3254 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl.No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	21.04.2026	<u>Dr.YLR, J</u> Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner. Issue notice to Respondent No.2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on Respondent No.2 and file proof to that effect. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that Petitioner/Accused No.4 is not a relative to Accused No.1 either by blood or adoption or marriage. The Respondent No.2 has alleged that Petitioner/Accused No.4 is the lady with whom her husband developed extra marital relationship. The Hon'ble Apex Court in U. Suvetha v. State, (2009) 6 SCC 757 ruled that when the girlfriend or concubine is not connected either by blood or marriage to the husband, they cannot be termed as relative of husband as per Section 498-A of 'the I.P.C.'	

		In view of the above, there shall be stay of all further proceedings with respect of Petitioner/Accused No.4 in Cr.No.75/2023 of Mahila Police station, Tirupati, until further orders. Post on 05.05.2026, under the caption 'part-heard'. Dr.YLR, J VTS	
--	--	---	--