

APHC010211902025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

MONDAY ,THE FIFTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 10939/2025

Between:

Chintakayala Sanyasi Patrudu @ Jammeelu and Others **...PETITIONER(S)**

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. Gudivada Sharmila Naidu

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

1. The Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed by the Petitioner for the following relief:

..”to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the official respondents particularly Respondent No.4/Tahsildar at the behest of the Respondent No.6, in not allotting separate Land Parcel Map (LPM) Numbers to the land property

situated in an extent of Ac.8.34 Cents in Survey No.261 of Allipudi Village, Kotananduru Revenue Mandal, Peddapuram Revenue Division, Kakinada District, in respect of Khata Numbers 288, 289, 291 and 260 belonging to the three petitioners and the Respondent No.6 respectively, by dividing the same into equal parts based on our Pattadar Pass Books, despite our several representations the last one being on 02/12/2024, as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, mala fide and against the principles of Natural Justice besides violation of Article 14, 21 and 300A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the petitioners in any manner including their dispossession from the subject property in the interest of justice and to pass”.

2. Though the petitioners made several allegations against the respondents, during hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners requested this Court, without touching the merits of the case, to issue a direction to the respondents to consider the applications/representations dated 02.12.2024, 26.12.2024 and 25.01.2025 of the Petitioners in accordance with law.

4. The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents did not refute the allegations and readily agreed to consider the applications/representations of the petitioners dated 02.12.2024, 26.12.2024 and 25.01.2025, if any pending with the authorities.

5. In view of the submission of the Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents, this Court need not decide the truth or otherwise of the allegations made in the petition. This Court is conscious that no such direction be issued, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in

“The Government of India v. P.Venkatesh¹”, wherein the Apex Court held that such orders may make for a quick or easy disposal of cases in overburdened adjudicatory institutions. But, they do not serve the cause of justice. As the learned counsel for the petitioners himself requested to issue a direction to consider the applications/representations dated 02.12.2024, 26.12.2024 and 25.01.2025, this Court find no other alternative except to issue such direction.

6. In the result, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the 4th respondent to consider the applications/representations dated 02.12.2024, 26.12.2024 and 25.01.2025 submitted by the petitioners, within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, by passing a speaking order after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and all concerned parties. No costs.

Consequently, interlocutory applications pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA,J

Dt: 05.05.2025
klk

¹ 2019 (8) SCALE 544

::4::

304

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 10939 of 2025

05.05.2025
klk