



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 10756/2026

Between:

1. KABLI SHARE KHAN, S/O SHAJAHAN KHAN KABLM, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, LIVING BY COOLIE (BUILDING CONSTRUCTION), RESIDING AT 30/19-14-1, BHAVANI NAGAR, NEAR NAAJ HALL, RAYACHOTI, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, PIN- 516 269.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, PIN-522238.

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, MADANAPALLE, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, PIN- 516269.

3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, RAYACHOTI, ANNAMAYA DISTRICT, PIN- 516 269.

4. THE RURAL CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, , RAYACHOTI, ANNAMAYA DISTRICT, PIN- 516 269.

5. THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE, , RURAL POLICE STATION, GALIVEEDU ROAD, RAYACHOTI ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, PIN- 516 269.

6. MALASANI LAKSHMI KUMARI, W/O M.VENKATARAMANA REDDY, HOUSEWIFE, AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS, RESIDING AT PAPPIREDDIGARIPALLE, H/O GALIVEEDU VILLAGE AND MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT. PIN 516 267.

7.KAMIRREDDI MANJULA, W/O VENKATANARAYANA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, RESIDING AT N.G.O.COLONY, RAYCHOTI TOWN AND MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, PIN 516 269.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.CHAKANA NEERAJ MURTHY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed for the following relief:

“...to issue an appropriate order, writ or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 4th and 5th respondents in calling the petitioner to the police station and pressurizing/threatening the petitioner to vacate the house bearing No. 30/19-14-1, bhavani nagar, near naaj hall, Rayachoti belonging to the petitioner as arbitrary, illegal, unconstitutional, and abuse of power and consequently direct the 4th and 5th respondents to refrain from calling the petitioner to the police station without registering any crime and threatening him to vacate the house and pass other such other or further orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the interests of justice. ...”

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Mr. Chakana Neeraj Murthy, learned counsel for the Petitioner, submits that the Respondent Nos.4 and 5 summoning the Petitioner to the police station without registering any crime and coercing him to vacate his lawful residence.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that the petitioner was called to the Police Station only for the limited purpose of enquiry on a complaint duly entered in the General Diary, that no threats or coercion were ever made to vacate the premises, and

that the Respondents acted lawfully to maintain peace and public order without any abuse of authority.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the Respondent Police not to henceforth interfere in the civil disputes between the Petitioners and the unofficial Respondents.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr.Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 01.05.2026
PRA

136

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 10756 of 2026

Date: 01.05.2026
PRA