



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3330]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 11646/2026

Between:

1. YEDDULA BHARATAMMA, W/O. Y. SIVA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, R/O D. NO. 11-1-71, ARAVINDA NAGAR, ANANTHAPURAMU, ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT, A.P - 515001.
2. YEDDULA SIVA REDDY,, S/O Y. C. NARAYANA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, R/O D. NO. 11-1-71, ARAVINDA NAGAR, ANANTHAPURAMU, ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT, A.P - 515001

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS NEW DELHI-110001
2. NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA NHAI, REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN, G-5 AND G-6, SECTOR-10, DWARKA, NEW DELHI - 110075
3. THE REGIONAL OFFICER, NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA (NHAI), VIJAYAWADA REGION, A.P.-520008.
4. THE PROJECT DIRECTOR, NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA (NHAI) PIU-ANANTAPUR, D.NO.6-4-239, 3RD CROSS, MARUTHI NAGAR, ANANTAPUR-515004
5. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT, ANANTAPUR, A.P-515001.

6.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, ANANTHAPUR DIVISION,
ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT.

7.THE TAHSILDAR, RAPTADU MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT, A.
P-515722.

8.THE MANDAL SURVEYOR, MANDAL REVENUE OFFICE, RAPTADU
MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT, A. P-515001.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring that the action of the Respondents Nos.2 to 4 herein in interfering with the possession and enjoyment of the Petitioners lands which are Plot No.8 admeasuring an extent of Ac.0.23-45 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2, Plot No.7 admeasuring extent of Ac.0.03-85 cents and Plot No.7A admeasuring an extent of Ac.0.24-15 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2 i.e., total extent of Ac. 0- 51.45 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2 respectively situated in Prasannayapalle Panchayat, Raptadu Village Field, Raptadu Mandal, Ananthapuram District, A.P, without issuing any notice and without following due process of law as wholly illegal. an arbitrary, unjust, untenable, contrary to principles of Natural Justice, besides being violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to DIRECT the respondents not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the petitioner in respect of his lands Plot No.8 admeasuring an extent of Ac.0.23-45 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2, Plot No.7 admeasuring Ac.0.03-85 cents, and Plot No.7A admeasuring Ac.0.24-15 cents, in Sy.No.18-1B2, i.e total extent of Ac.0.51-45 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2, situated in Prasannayapalle Panchayat, Rapthadu Village Field, Rapthadu Mandal, Ananthapuram District, Andhra Pradesh, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.CKR ASSOCIATES

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO**WRIT PETITION No.11646 OF 2026****ORDER:**

The present Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

“...to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring that the action of the Respondents Nos.2 to 4 herein in interfering with the possession and enjoyment of the Petitioners lands which are Plot No.8 admeasuring an extent of Ac.0.23-45 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2, Plot No.7 admeasuring extent of Ac.0.03-85 cents and Plot No.7A admeasuring an extent of Ac.0.24-15 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2 i.e., total extent of Ac. 0-51.45 cents in Sy.No.18-1B2 respectively situated in Prasannayapalle Panchayat, Raptadu Village Field, Raptadu Mandal, Ananthapuram District, A.P, without issuing any notice and without following due process of law as wholly illegal. an arbitrary, unjust, untenable, contrary to principles of Natural Justice, besides being violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and pass...”

2. Heard M/s.CKR Associates, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Government Pleader for respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the writ petition is filed aggrieved by the action of the respondents in demarcating of the petitioners lands 1. Plot No.8 in an extent of Ac.0.23-45 cents, 2. Plot No.7 in an extent of Ac.0.03-85 cents and 3. Plot No.7A in an extent of Ac.0.24-15 cents in Survey No.18-1B2 situated in Prasannayapalle Panchayat, Raptadu Village field, Raptadu Mandal, without considering the petitioners attempt to show their right over the subject properties and without following due process of law.

In the interim prayer, the petitioners seek a direction to respondent Nos.2 to 4 not to dispossess the petitioners from the said properties situated in the said village.

4. The learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 4 filed proceedings dated 22.04.2026 along with certain material papers.

5. On perusal of the record, it is observed that the writ petitioners were not given any notice to attend the office of the respondents to establish their right over the subject properties in Survey No.35/2, which is stated to belong to the Central Government/NHAI. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition seeking directions against the respondents.

6. In view of the above, this Court feels it appropriate to provide an opportunity to the petitioners to approach respondent Nos.2 to 4 with relevant documents to establish their right over the subject properties within one (1) week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Upon submission of such documents, respondent Nos.2 to 4 are directed to conduct an enquiry after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

7. The respondent Nos.2 to 4 are further directed not to take any coercive steps for dispossession of the petitioners for a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Thereafter, the respondent Nos.2 to 4 shall proceed in accordance with law.

8. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 28.04.2026
PBS

111

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11646 of 2026

Date: 28.04.2026
PBS