



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3208]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 10734/2026

Between:

1. MANTRI SURYANARAYANA, S/O MANTRI CHINNA SUBBAIAH
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, R/O D. NO 18-30-23, MANTRI VARI
STREET, NEAR BRAHMAM GARI TEMPLE, SANGADIGUNTA,
GUNTUR, ANDHRA PRADESH 522003

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE UNION OF INDIA, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT (LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT), SHRAM SHAKTI
BHAVAN RAFI MARG, NEW DELHI- 110001

2. THE EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION, HAVING
ITS HEADQUARTERS OFFICE, ESI CORPORATION,
PANCHADEEP BHAVAN, CIG MARG, NEW DELHI REP. BY ITS
DIRECTOR (GRIEVANCES) 110002

3. THE EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION, HAVING
ITS REGIONAL OFFICE AT PANCHDEEP BHAVAN, ESIC ROAD,
GUNADALA, VIJAYAWADA, ANDHRA PRADESH REP. BY ITS
JOINT DIRECTOR. 520004

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or orders, direction particularly in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents in

transferring the petitioner from regional office RO-Vijayawada to SRO - Tirupathi by the proceedings dated 10.04.2026 vide No A-22/13/AGT/SSO/1/2025 and thereby issuing the relieving letter dated 16.04.2026 vide proceedings F.No. A-22/13/AGT/SSO/1/2025-E.I without considering that petitioner is 70 PERCENT percent disability candidate and his representation dated 13.04.2026 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional, and also violative of rules made under Rights of persons with disabilities Act, 2016 and consequently DIRECT the respondents to consider petitioner representation and transfer him by following the provisions made under Rights of persons with disabilities Act, 2016, in the interest of justice and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to DIRECT the respondents to not to proceed with the transfer of petitioner as issued by the proceedings dated: 10.04.2026 vide No: A-22/13/AGT/SSO/1/2025 by granting stay in the interest of justice pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KUNTAMUKKALA SAI SREE SANJAY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following ORDER:

1. This Writ Petition is filed aggrieved by the action of the respondents in transferring the petitioner from the regional office RO-Vijayawada to SRO – Thirupathi by the proceedings dated 10.04.2026.
2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for the respondents.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, being a 70% disabled candidate, was transferred without due consideration of his disability. It is further submitted that the petitioner is unable to effectively discharge his duties at SRO, Tirupati. Therefore, he submitted a representation dated 13.04.2026 requesting posting at or nearby Guntur.
4. The learned Government Pleader for the respondents submitted that the issue relates to the maintainability of the representation.
5. In view of the above circumstances, this Court is not inclined to examine the merits of the matter. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 13.04.2026 and, if feasible, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

22.04.2026
RMD

JUSTICE D RAMESH

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 10734/2026

22.04.2026

RMD