



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3173/2026

Between:

1. SHAIK BILAL, S/O MASUDHA, AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS, R/O
MITTAGUDEM, NEAR JENDACHETTUJAGGAIAHPET,
MUKTESWARAPURAM, NTR DISTRICT-521457.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, Rep. by its Public
Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

1. RAVI TEJA GUDE

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

1. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3173 of 2026****Order:**

The Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNSS'), by the petitioner/Accused No.3, seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.34 of 2026 of Chillakallu Police Station, NTR Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 118(2) read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief is that on 18.02.2026 at around 22.30 hours, Accused Nos.1 to 10 were moving in the Muktyala Village, Jaggaiahpet Mandal and bursting cracker bombs. At that time, sparks from the cracker bombs fell on the houses of Shaik Karnam Saheb and others and when the complainant along with others questioned them, they suddenly took knives, sticks and attacked the complainant and others, due to which, the complainant sustained bleeding injuries.

3. Heard Sri Ravi Teja Gude, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. K. Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor representing the respondent/State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that petitioner the petitioner was falsely implicated in the present crime without there being any specific and clear overt act attributed against him. The present case is filed as a counter blast to the earlier disputes between the accused persons and complainant. Except omnibus allegations, there are no specific overt acts

attributed against the petitioner and hence, prays to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, in reply, would submit there are specific overt acts against the petitioner herein and investigation is at nascent stage and finally, prays for dismissal of petition.

6. Considering the submissions made and on perusal of the material on record, there are specific accusations against the petitioner herein that he along with other accused attacked the complainant and caused grievous injuries. Taking into consideration of the stage of the investigation also, this is not the stage to consider their plea for anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 28.04.2026
ARB

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3173 of 2026

Date: 28.04.2026

ARB