



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3208]**

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 11829/2026**

**Between:**

1. M S LAKSHMI NARAYANA, S/O HARI KRISHNA, AGED 57 YEARS,  
OCC. SUB-REGISTRAR, R/O D.NO. 15-1759, LALUGARDEN,  
CHITTOOR CITY AND DISTRICT, A.P., PIN. 517002

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS.. PRINCIPAL  
SECRETARY, REGISTRATION AND STAMPS DEPARTMENT,  
AP SECRETARIAT, VELGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR  
DISTRICT, A.P., PIN. 522238.

2. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF REGISTRATION AND STAMPS,  
REP. BY ITS.. THE COMMISSIONER AND INSPECTOR GENERAL  
OF REGISTRATION AND STAMPS, V. SQUARE BUILDING, KSR  
PARK ROAD, WARD NO. 2, TADEPALLE, GUNTUR DISTRICT,  
A.P., PIN. 522501.

3. THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF REGISTRATION AND  
STAMPS, O/O DIG REGISTRATION AND STAMPS,  
ANANTHAPURAMU, A.P., PIN. 515001.

4. THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF REGISTRATION AND  
STAMPS, O/O THE DIG (REGISTRATION AND STAMPS), KADAPA  
DISTRICT, A.P., PIN. 516001.

5. THE DISTRICT REGISTRAR FAC, O/O DISTRICT REGISTRAR,  
RAYACHOTI, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, A.P., PIN. 516269.

6. THE JOINT SUB REGISTRAR, O/O THE JOINT SUB REGISTRAR,  
SRO RAYACHOTI, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, A.P., PIN. 516269.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction or Writ more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, declaring the action of the respondent no. 3 in issuing the Memo No. X/12/2026, Date 11.02.2026 for drafting Articles of Charges against the petitioner without considering the Written Explanation Dt.05.03.2026 is illegal, arbitrary, in violation of Principles of Natural Justice and in violation of Article 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently a) to set-aside the Memo No. X/12/2026, Date 11.02.2026 issued for drafting Articles of Charges issued by the respondent no. 3 and b) direct the respondent no. 3 to consider the Written Explanation Dt.05.03.2026 and pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in Memo No. X/12/2026, Date: 11.02.2026 issued by the respondent no. 3 for drafting of Articles of Charges, pending disposal of the writ petition and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. TRIMOORTHY K G

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR SERVICES I

**The Court made the following ORDER:**

1. This Writ Petition is filed aggrieved by the action of respondent No.3 in issuing Memo No.X/12/2026, dated 11.02.2026, drafting Articles of Charges against the petitioner without considering the written explanation submitted by the petitioner dated 05.03.2026, which action is illegal and arbitrary.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, pursuant to the Articles of Charges issued vide Memo dated 11.02.2026, the petitioner submitted his written explanation on 05.03.2026. However, the respondents have not considered the said explanation nor passed any orders thereon till date. It is further submitted that, without taking into consideration the explanation submitted by the petitioner, the respondents are proceeding with further action in the matter. Therefore, the learned counsel seeks a direction from this Court to the respondents to consider the written explanation dated 05.03.2026 submitted by the petitioner before proceeding further in the matter.

4. On the other hand, the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submits that the written explanation submitted by the petitioner will be duly considered and appropriate orders will be passed within a reasonable period of time in accordance with law.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, and without going into the merits of the case, this court is of the considered view that, the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the written explanation submitted by the petitioner dated 05.03.2026, pursuant to the Articles of Charges issued against him vide Memo dated 11.02.2026, before taking any further action, and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

**28.04.2026**

**klk**

---

**JUSTICE D RAMESH**

**146**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 11829/2026**

**28.04.2026**

klk