

APHC010205822025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 10330/2025

Between:

1. SHAIK ANWAR, S/O. REHAMAN, AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, OCC ADVOCATE, R/O. D.NO-3-2-2/B, NARINDIVARI STREET, CHERUVU CENTER, VIDHYADHARAPURAM, VIJAYAWADA.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS AT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT.
3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, II TOWN POLICE STATION, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT.
4. THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE, II TOWN POLICE STATION, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT.
5. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SURYARAOPETA, NTR DISTRICT.
6. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SATYANARAYANA PURAM, NTR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. YARRAMSETTY VENKATESH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“.... to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent Nos.3 to 6 in continuing call to the petitioner to the purpose of opening the Rowdy Sheet against the petitioner on the file of II Town Police Station, Vijayawada, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional, unjust and violative of Article 14, 19,21 of the Constitution of India,

consequently direct the Respondent Nos.3 to 6 herein not to call the petitioner to the opening of the Rowdy Sheet on the file of II Town Police Station, Vijayawada, against the petitioner, further not to summon the petitioner to the police station, not to interfere in the life and personal liberty of the petitioner.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the writ petition.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA, J

Date: 12.11.2025

ASR

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.10330 of 2025

Dt..12.11.2025

ASR