

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO.: **Crl.R.C.No.326 of 2020**

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	14.02.2022	<u>DR,J</u> <u>Crl.R.C.No.326 of 2020</u> Admit. Notice. Call for records. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a retired employee and he processed his application for pension benefits, however, the pension proposals were returned on 31.10.2018 on the ground that no signatures of his wife are found in the descriptive Rolls (Annexure-I). In the said circumstances, the claim of the petitioner was not approved, the petitioner could not be able to deposit the amount as directed by the Court below in Crl.M.P.No.104/2018 in FCMC No.30 of 2018 vide order dated 31.08.2018. After hearing the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court has not found any piece of paper showing that the request made by the petitioner for signatures in the pension papers and denial by the respondent. So also there is no evidence to show that the petitioner has requested the respondent to sign the pension proposals. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant Stay. It is for the petitioner to obtain signatures on the pension papers, if at all the petitioner made any request, the respondent may sign on the pension papers, however, this could not be a ground for denial of the interim maintenance granted by the	

		court below in Crl.M.P.No.104 of 2018 in F.C.M.C.No.30 of 2018, hence, liberty is given to the respondent to move appropriate application before the concerned court for payment of due amount of maintenance. DR, J Pnr	
--	--	---	--