

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: CrI.P.No.10211 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl.No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	20.04.2026	<u>Dr.YLR, J</u> Issue notice to the Respondent Nos.5 to 9. The learned counsel for the Petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice on Respondent Nos.5 to 9 and file proof to that effect. Respondent No.3/Tahsildar, V.Kota Mandal, Chittoor District, vide proceedings in R.O.C.A./111/2026 dated 24.03.2026, directed Respondent No.4 to provide protection. Such direction was issued without affording an opportunity to the Petitioner and without following the due process of law, particularly in view of the pendency of civil cases in O.S.No.29 of 2025 on the file of the learned District Judge, Chittoor. A learned Single Judge of this Court, in Sake Chandrayudu v. State of A.P¹, observed that the Tahsildar has no power or authority to direct the Station House Officer to provide police protection to the unofficial respondents therein. This view was taken by relying upon the judgment of this Court in T.Mallikarjuna v. State of A.P².	

¹ 2015 SCC OnLine Hyd 137

² 2013 SCC OnLine AP 817

		In view of the above reasons, the endorsement dated 24.03.2026 issued vide R.O.C.A./111/2026 by Respondent No.3 to Respondent No.4 is hereby suspended.	
--	--	--	--

Post after Summer Vacation, 2026.

Dr.YLR, J
PRA