



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 12085/2026

Between:

1.V. SUGALI NARAYANA NAYAK, S/O V.LATE RAME NAYAK, AGED
71 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE R/O H.NO. 8-45, MUTHURAYANI
THANDA, GORANTIA, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522237.

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT-515134.

3.THE TAHSILDAR, GORANTIA MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT-
515110.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent No.3 in threatening to dispossess the petitioner from his lawful possession in respect of the petitioners agricultural lands in Sy.Nos. 320-2A, 376-1 and 377-2 admeasuring Ac. 1.40 cents, Ac. 0.54 cents and Ac. 0.33 cents situated at Vanavolu village, Gorantla Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District, without any manner of right or authority and without following the procedure contemplated under the Statute, as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust,

unconstitutional and violative of Articles 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 3rd respondent not to take any coercive steps without following the due process of Law, and to grant such other relief or reliefs as this Honble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to pass orders directing the respondent No.3 herein not to dispossess or in any manner interfere with the petitioner's from his lawful possession in respect of the petitioner's agricultural lands in Sy.Nos. 320-2A, 376-1 and 377-2 admeasuring Ac. 1.40 cents, Ac. 0.54 cents and Ac. 0.33 cents situated at Vanavolu village, Gorantia Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition, and to pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K JOSEPH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the 3rd respondent in threatening to dispossess the petitioner from his lawful possession in respect of the petitioner's agricultural lands in Sy.Nos.320-2A, 376-1 and 377-2 admeasuring Ac.1.40 cents, Ac. 0.54 cents and Ac.0.33 cents situated at Vanavolu village, Gorantla Mandal, Sri Satya Sai District, without any manner of right or authority and without following the procedure contemplated under the Statute.

3. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue relying upon the written instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 17.04.2026 submits that no eviction proceedings or coercive steps have been initiated against the petitioner by the 3rd respondent. The allegations, that this respondent visited the lands on 31.03.2026 and threatened dispossession are not supported by any official record and is hereby denied. The petitioner is continuing in possession of the subject lands as per the available records and there is no interference from the revenue authorities.

4. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, recording the said instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 17.04.2026, no further orders are

necessary in this writ petition. The said written instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 17.04.2026 shall be made as part of the Court record.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

30.04.2026
PGT

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN