



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 10792 OF 2026

Between:

1. KARAM PAPAYAMMA, D/o. Late Karam Paparaodora, Aged 39 yrs,
R/o. Rajupeta Loddi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, Polavaram
District. 533284

...Petitioner

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Tribal Welfare
Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur District, Pin 522 238.

2. The Tahsildar, Gangavaram Mandal, Polavaram District. 533284

3. The Special Deputy Tahsildar, Tribal Welfare, Gangavaram
Mandal, Polavaram District. 533284

4. Karam Vishnudora, S/o. Venkateswarlu Dora, R/o. Rajupeta Loddi
Village, Gangavaram Mandal, Polavaram District. 533284

5. Karam Ramcharan Dora, S/o. Venkateswarlu Dora, R/o. Rajupeta
Loddi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, Polavaram District. 533284

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents 2 and 3 and their subordinate staff in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of my property situated in an extent of Ac. 0.15 cts in Sy. No. 85/1, Ac. 2.81 cts in Sy. No. 85/2, Ac. 1.41 cts in Sy. No. 53, Ac. 0.09 cts in Sy. No. 46/1, Ac. 0.18 cts in Sy. NO. 25/1 and Ac. 1.67 cts in Sy. No. 26 situated in Rajupeta Loddi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, Polavaram District as wholly illegal, arbitrary and in violation of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere and dispossess me from my landed property stated above without following the due process of law and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 2 & 3 and their subordinate staff not to interfere and dispossess the petitioner from my landed property of an extent of Ac. 0.15 cts in Sy. No. 85/1, Ac. 2.81 cts in Sy. No. 85/2, Ac. 1.41 cts in Sy. No. 53, Ac. 0.09 cts in Sy. No. 46/1, Ac. 0.18 cts in Sy. NO. 25/1 & Ac. 1.67 cts in Sy. No. 26 situated in Rajupeta Loddi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, Polavaram District and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: K VENKATESH

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE Counsel for the Respondents:GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

The Court made the following order:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY**Writ Petition No. 10792 of 2026****O R D E R:**

The Writ Petition is filed questioning the interference of the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and their subordinate staff with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner over an extent of Ac.0.15 cents in Sy.No.85/1, Ac.2.81 cents in Sy.No.85/2, Ac.1.41 cents in Sy.No.53, Ac.0.09 cents in Sy.No.46/1, Ac.0.18 cents in Sy.No.25/1, and Ac.1.67 cents in Sy.No.26 situated in Rajupeta Loddi Village, Gangavaram Mandal, Polavaram District, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The Petitioner claims that she is the Scheduled Tribe and the lands mentioned above belong to one late Karam Paparao Dora, who is her grandfather and who died on 14.10.2007. It is stated that during his lifetime, the Petitioner was adopted and claims to have succeeded to the above mentioned property after the death of Paparao Dora on 14.10.2007. The Petitioner also made a representation on 20.05.2025 to mutate his name in the revenue record. It is also stated that the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are claiming to be the grandsons of late Karam Papa Rao Dora through his second wife.

3. It is also stated that the Revenue Officials are acting in collusion with Respondent Nos. 4 and 5. The Petitioner also places reliance on the Gram Sabha conducted under the Panchayats extension to Scheduled Areas (PESA) in Rajupeta Loddi Village on 20.01.2026 and a resolution said to have been passed on 20.01.2026, validating the right and title of the Petitioner over the schedule property. In spite of the same, the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are interfering with the right, title and possession of the Petitioner.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader on instructions submits that the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are not interfering with the property in question and that the dispute raised in this Writ Petition is a private dispute between the Petitioner and the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is disposed of with the following directions:

i) The Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 shall not involve themselves *vis a vis* the above mentioned property, except on any application made in accordance with the law.

ii) The Petitioner is at liberty to work out her legal remedies against the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 regarding the right, title and possession over the schedule property.

iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

Date: 24.04.2026

eha

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

W.P.No.10792 of 2026

Dated: 24.04.2026

eha