

Date of reserved for orders :

Date of pronouncement : 02.07.2026

Date of uploading : 08.07.2026

APHC010202442026



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

THURSDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 10330/2026

Between:

1. MODUGULA VENUGOPALA REDDY, S/O. LATE PAPI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS OCC BUSINESS, R/O. DOOR NO. 3-1-268, BRUNDAVAN GARDENS, GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 522006,

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI - 522238.

2. THE GUNTUR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REPRESENTED BY COMMISSIONER GUNTUR - 522003.

3. THE COMMISSIONER, THE GUNTUR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION GUNTUR - 522003.

4. THE CAPITAL REGION DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, REPRESENTED ITS COMMISSIONER, RAYAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DIST., GUNTUR - 522237

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, declaring the impugned action of road widen programme effecting the petitioners property D.No.3-1-268 (D.No.29 of Nallapadu) situated at Brindavan Gardens, Guntur by the 2nd respondent as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction and violative of Section 146 of the A.P. Municipal Corporations Act, 1955, G.O.Ms.No.688 and G.O.Ms.No.119 MA and UD, the provisions of the LARR Act, 2013 and Articles 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents not to take any coercive action including demolition or dispossession of the petitioners property pursuant to the impugned action without following due process of and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. ANCHA PANDURANGA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMN URBAN DEV

2. S PRANATHI

The Court made the following:

ORDER:-

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks the permission of this Court to withdraw the present Writ Petition.

2. Permission is accorded.
3. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn, granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition. There shall be no order as to costs.
4. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

02.07.2026.
PNS

Whether the order is:

Speaking	☒	Reasoned	
Reportable		Non-reportable	☒