



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

MONDAY, THE 17<sup>th</sup> DAY OF AUGUST 2026

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 10929/2026**

**Between:**

1.K. RAMACHANDRA, S/O. K. VEERANNA, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,  
R/O. D.NO. 18-1-575, VENUGOPAL NAGAR, ANANTAPURAMU-  
515001, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT, A.P.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL  
SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI,  
GUNTUR DISTRICT-522239.

2.ANANTAPURAM MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REP. BY ITS  
COMMISSIONER, ANANTAPURAMU-515001, ANANTAPUR  
DISTRICT, A.P.

3.P ARUNA, W/O. P. ESHWAR REDDY, R/O. VENUGOPAL NAGAR,  
3RD CROSS, ANANTAPURAMU, A.P-515001

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to pass an order or orders or direction more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring that the inaction of the 2nd Respondent in considering the representation dated 26.03.2026 of the Petitioner and in taking action against the 3rd respondent for construction of building in the Petitioners property situated in Sy.No. 170/1 of Venugopal Nagar Extension, Anantapuramu, A.P without any valid building permit order as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and contrary to the provisions of A.P. Municipal Corporations Act, 1955, A.P. Building Rules, 2017, besides being violative of Article 14 and 300-A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the 2nd Respondent herein to conduct a detailed survey by demarcating the Petitioners property situated in Sy. No. 170/1, Venugopal Nagar Extension, Anantapuramu, A.P by considering Petitioner's representation dated.26.03.2026 in accordance with law and to restrain the 3rd Respondent from interfering with the Petitioners peaceful possession of the subject property or from carrying on any construction in subject property and pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Building Permission issued by the 2nd Respondent

herein in favour of the 3rd Respondent herein and to direct the 2nd Respondent herein to conduct an detailed survey and to demarcate the boundaries of the Petitioner's land situated in Sy.No. 170/1 by considering the Petitioner's representation dt.26.03.2026 and pass

**IA NO: 2 OF 2026**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd Respondent herein not to make any construction activity in the Petitioner's property situated in Sy.No. 170/1 and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

- 1.CKR ASSOCIATES

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

- 1.POTHAM VENGALA REDDY SC For M.Corporation in Rayalaseema region In
- 2.GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

**The Court made the following:**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N**  
**WRIT PETITION No.10929 of 2026**

**ORDER :**

1. The petitioner filed the present writ petition aggrieved by the inaction on the part of respondent No.2 in considering the representation dated 26.06.2026 submitted by the petitioner against the unauthorized construction allegedly undertaken by respondent No.3 in Survey No.170/1 of Venugopal Nagar Extension, Ananthapuram.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the notice sent to respondent No.3 was returned un-served with an endorsement that no such person was available at the said address.
3. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 has filed the written instructions. It is stated therein that, pursuant to the representation received from the petitioner, a field inspection was conducted and the survey was carried out by the Town Planning Officer. As per the written instructions, the subject construction undertaken by respondent No.3 falls in Survey No.170/2 and not in Survey No.170/1. A copy of the survey report is also placed before the Court. It is further stated in the instructions that respondent No.3 has constructed the subject structure strictly in accordance with the survey records and after obtaining the requisite permission from respondent No.2.
4. In view of the instructions received and the survey report placed before this Court, no further orders need be passed in the writ petition.

However, it is left open to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum in the event of any dispute with regard to the title over the subject property.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

Dated 17.08.2026  
KGM

---

**JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

**55**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

**WRIT PETITION No.10929 of 2026**  
Dated 17.08.2026

KGM