



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 11165/2026

Between:

1. PAPPURI CHOWDESWARI, W/O. LATE P. KASI BABU, AGED ABOUT
55 YEARS, R/O. G-2 FLAT, LAKAHMI BRUNDA APARTMENTS,
VASTRA NAGAR, OLD GUNTUR, GUNTUR DISTRICT. - 522001

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR, ANDHRA PRADESH. - 522238

2. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, GUNTUR RANGE,
GUNTUR DISTRICT. - 522001 3

3. THE SUPERINTEND OF POLICE, GUNTUR RANGE, GUNTUR. -
522002

4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, WOMEN POLICE STATION,
BRODIPET, GUNTUR DISTRICT. - 522002

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. S LAKSHMI PRAMEELA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed for the following relief:

“...to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction on the part of the respondents particularly the 3rd Respondent herein in respect of registering FIR, conducting proper investigation and to arrest to the accused in spite of complaint dated 16-03-2026 to the 3rd Respondent 16-03-2026 to the higher officials by making specific allegations against the Pappuri Chandramouli, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and against the Statutory Rights guaranteed under the Relevant Statutes and consequently direct the 3rd Respondent to register FIR, conduct proper investigation...”

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Mrs. S. Lakshmi Prameela, learned counsel for the Petitioner, submits that the complaint was submitted by the Petitioner to Respondent No.4 seeking registration of a case and investigation. However, the said complaint has not been acted upon in accordance with law.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that the police have conducted a preliminary enquiry on the representation submitted by the Petitioner and found that the cause of action is stale and that there are issues relating to territorial jurisdiction. Therefore, the police have not proceeded further.

5. Be that as it may, when the complaint of the Petitioner was not considered by the Respondent Police, he has got alternative efficacious remedy under Section 190 read with 200 of ‘the Cr.P.C.’ / Section 210 read with 223 of ‘the BNSS’

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is

disposed of granting liberty to the Petitioner to approach the learned Jurisdictional Magistrate by way of filing a private complaint under Section 190 read with Section 190 read with 200 of 'the Cr.P.C.,' / Section 210 read with 223 of 'the BNSS'.

7. On filing of such private complaint by the Petitioner before the learned Jurisdictional Magistrate, the learned Jurisdictional Magistrate is directed to bestow his attention to the same and deal with the complaint in accordance with law.

8. In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr.Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 01.05.2026
PRA

126

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 11165 of 2026

Date: 01.05.2026
PRA