



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3521]**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF MAY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 9871/2026**

**Between:**

1. YAJJALA PARVATHI, W/O VASU AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS OCC.  
HOUSE WIFE/COOLIE R/O DOOR.NO.4-122/2 BHAVANI NAGAR  
RAGHUNADHAPURAM VILLAGE TEKKALI TOWN AND MANDAI  
SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
2. PATNANA DHANALAXMI, W/O KALYANA RAO AGED ABOUT  
37 YEARS OCC. HOUSE WIFE/COOLIE, R/O DOOR.NO.4-122/2/A  
BHAVANI NAGAR RAGHUNADHAPURAM VILLAGE TEKKALI TOWN  
AND MANDAI SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL  
SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT  
VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATI GUNTUR DIST.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, TEKKALI TOWN AND  
MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
4. THE TAHSILDAR, TEKKALI TOWN AND MANDAL SRIKAKULAM  
DISTRICT
5. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER/SECRETARY, GRAMA PANCHAYAT  
TEKKALI, TOWN AND MANDAL SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT
6. THE VILLAGE REVENUE OFFICER, TEKKALI TOWN AND MANDAL

SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

TOTA TEJESWARA RAO

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

GP FOR REVENUE

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

*“...to issue a writ order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus to declare the action of Respondent No 2 to 6 were trying to demolish the petitioners houses at D no. 4-122 and 4-122/2 in Sy No 468/B for an extent of Ac 0 03 cents and Ac 02 cents at Bhavani Nagar Raghunadhapuram village Tekkali Mandal Srikakulam district without following due process of law and without any notice to the petitioners is illegal arbitrary and violation of the Art 14 21 and 300A Of Constitution of India and violation of board standing order No 21 and also violation of the principles of natural Justice Consequently direct the Respondent No 4 to 6 not to demolish the petitioners houses at D no 4-122 and D no 4-122/2 in Sy No. 468/B for an extent of Ac 0 03 cents and Ac 02 cents at Bhavani Nagar Raghunadhapuram village Tekkali Mandal Srikakulam district and pass....”*

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. A learned Single Judge of this Court, in W.P.No.27989 of 2021, by order dated 09.03.2022, passed the following direction:

*“Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondent authorities to follow due process of law by affording notice and personal hearing to the petitioners before taking eviction proceedings against them. Till such exercise is conducted, the respondent*

*authorities shall not take any coercive steps for eviction of the petitioners. No costs.*

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners in the present Writ Petition submits that the directions issued in W.P.No.27989 of 2021, dated 09.03.2022, were not duly complied with.

5. Mr. T. Sanjeev Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, on written instructions, submits that the disputed land is classified as Tank Poramboke. The learned Assistant Government Pleader further submits that respondent No.4 has complied with the directions issued by this Court on 09.03.2022 and contends that, as per Board Standing Order 15, Para 3(4)(ii)(a), assignment of Poramboke lands such as tank beds, foreshore tank beds, cattle stands, grazing lands and reserved lands is strictly prohibited.

6. It is further contended that neither the Revenue Divisional Officer nor the Tahsildar has issued any assignment pattas in respect of the objectionable Tank Poramboke land. However, the records and written instructions do not disclose whether sufficient notice and opportunity were given to the petitioners before initiating steps for demolition of the petitioners' houses situated in Sy.No.468/B of Bhavani Nagar, Raghunadhapuram Village, Tekkali Mandal.

7. The petitioners have filed certain photographs showing that permanent constructions exist in the disputed premises. The petitioners have also placed certain documents on record to demonstrate that they have been in possession of the subject property and have raised constructions therein.

8. Having regard to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.4 shall not proceed with demolition of the petitioners' houses bearing Nos.4-122 and 4-122/2, situated in Sy.No.468/B, admeasuring Ac.0.03 cents and Ac.0.02 cents respectively, at Bhavani Nagar, Raghunadhapuram Village, Tekkali Mandal, Srikakulam District, without following due process of law and without issuing notice to the petitioners.

9. In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

---

**DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J**

Date: 21.05.2026

RSI

**02**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 9871 of 2026**

Date: 21.05.2026  
RSI