



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 10423/2026

Between:

1. P. G. LALITHAMMA,, W/O. LAKSHMI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS, R/O. KURUGUNTA VILLAGE, ANANTAPUR RURAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.-515751
2. P. LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY, S/O. SUBBA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS, R/O. KURUKUNTA VILLAGE, ANANTAPUR RURAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. 515751
3. G. LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY, S/O. G. NARAYANA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, R/O. KURUKUNTA VILLAGE, ANANTAPUR RURAL ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. 515751
4. P. VIJAYABHASKAR REDDY,, S/O. P. SUBBA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS, R/O. KURUKUNTA VILLAGE, ANANTAPUR RURAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. 515751

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.-522237
2. THE JOINT COLLECTOR, ANANTAPUR, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. - 515001
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, , ANANTAPUR DIVISION, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, ANANTAPUR RURAL MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. -515751
5. MARINENI SUBBARAYUDU, S/O. RANGAPPA, AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN, R/O. KURUKUNTA VILLAGE, ANANTAPUR RURAL

MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. 515751

6.MARINENI LAKSHMI NARAYANA REDDY, S/O. SUBBARAYUDU, AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN, R/O. KURUKUNTA VILLAGE, ANANTAPUR RURAL MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. 515751

7.MARINENI NARAYANA SWAMY, S/O. SUBBARAYUDU, AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN, R/O. KURUKUNTA VILLAGE, ANANTAPUR RURAL MANDAL, ANANTAPUR DISTRICT. 515751

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or Direction, particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the in action of the respondent no.4, in issuing the impugned proceedings in Rc.no. B/540/2025 dated. 16.03.2026 is illegal, arbitrary and violations of Article 14, 21 and 300A and consequently set a side the same in respect of land in Survey Nos. 172-1,172-2, and 172-3, admeasuring Ac. 17.75 cents, Ac.3.15 cents, and Ac.23.56 cents respectively, are situated at Kurugunta Village, Anantapur Mandal, Anantapur District fourthwith and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to suspend the proceedings vide Rc.no. B/540/2025 dated. 16.03.2026 issued by the 4th respondent in respect of lands in Survey Nos. 172-1, 172-2, and 172-3, admeasuring Ac.17.75 cents, Ac.3.15 cents, and Ac.23.56 cents respectively, are situated at Kurugunta Village, Anantapur Mandal, Anantapur District pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.K SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos.5 to 7.

2. This Writ Petition was filed questioning the order passed by the 4th respondent dated 16.03.2026, in respect of the mutation of the names of the parties concerned for the subject land, covered under the said order.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned order of the 4th respondent dated 16.03.2026 is contrary to the facts and law.

4. Having observed that the unofficial respondents herein are only entitled for mutation of land in an extent of Ac.0.50 cents in Sy.No.172-1 and 172-3 put together, but in the assertive portion, the area of extent is increased in both the survey numbers and accordingly held that the unofficial respondents herein are entitled for mutation over the larger extents is contrary to his own observation in the previous paras of the impugned order dated 16.03.2026.

5. On the other hand, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos.5 to 7, submits that the 4th respondent after considering the case of both the parties and following the directions of this Court in W.P.No.29651 of 2025, dated 03.11.2025, passed the above said order, determining the eligibility of mutation in respect of both the parties concerned for the total subject land covered under the above said order dated 16.03.2026.

6. The learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the official respondent Nos.1 to 4, submits that it is an appealable order before the 3rd respondent by way of an effective alternative remedy.

7. In view of the above said facts and circumstances and upon consideration of the rival submissions made, since there is an effective alternative remedy available before the 3rd respondent, the petitioners are permitted to prefer an appeal against the impugned order of the 4th respondent dated 16.03.2026, within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this Order. On preferring such appeal by the petitioners, the 3rd respondent shall consider and dispose of the same, strictly in accordance with law, by hearing all the parties concerned giving due opportunity, including the petitioners concerned and the unofficial respondents herein, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of four (04) months thereafter. Pending the appeal, there shall be an order of *status-quo* as on today to be maintained with respect to the mutation of the names of the parties concerned for the subject land, covered under the impugned order of the 4th respondent dated 16.03.2026.

8. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

21.04.2026
SCH

198

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

W.P.No.10423 of 2026

Date: 21.04.2026

SCH