



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3330]

MONDAY, THE 20th DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 11375/2026

Between:

1. MENDA SATYAVATHI,, W/O. SATYANARAYANA (LATE), AGE 85 YEARS, , HOUSEWIFE, D.NO.10-1-68/1, POLICE LINE, NEAR KANAKADURGA TEMPLE, AMALAPURAM, AMALAPURAM (M), AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT - 533221
2. BORUSU SATYA PUSHPAVATHI,, W/O. VENKATESWARA RAO, AGE 55 YEARS, , HOUSEWIFE, D.NO.3-147, BORUSUVARI STREET, PASARLAPUDILANKA (V), MAMIDIKUDURU (M), AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT
3. GANDHAM SIVA PARVATHI,, W/O. SATYANARAYANA MURTHY AGE 65 YEARS, , HOUSEWIFE, D.NO.3-79, SAMANASA (V), AMALAPURAM (M), AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT
4. BORUSU MANGATAYARU,, W/O. VENKATESWARA RAO, AGE 61 YEARS, , HOUSEWIFE, 10-1-68/1, KOTHAGOPAYYA STREET, AMALAPURAM, AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT
5. JAYANA ADI DURGA LAKSHMI KUMARI,, W/O INTL VENKATESWARA RAO, AGE 58 YEARS, HINDU, TEACHER, D. NO.10-1-67/1, OLD POLICE LINE, AMALAPURAM, AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT
6. POLISETTI YASODA VARALAKSHMI,, W/O. BHASKARA RAO, AGE 50 YEARS, , HOUSEWIFE, D.NO.4-175/1, MAIN ROAD, UPPALAGUPTAM (V), UPPALAGUPTAM (M), AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT
7. MENDA RUPA RAVI KISHORE, S/O. SURYANARAYANA, AGE 44 YEARS, , PVT. EMPLOYEE, D.NO.10-1-68, OLD POLICE LINE, AMALAPURAM, AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE (ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT) SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.
2. THE COMMISSIONER, ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT,

- GOLLAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, NTR DISTRICT,
 3. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT,
 KAKINADA, KAKINADA DISTRICT.
 4. ASST COMMISSIONER, ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT,
 AMALAPURAM, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT.
 5. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR
 KONASEEMA DISTRICT.
 6. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, SRI SRI SRI
 CHENNAMALLESWARA SWAMY DEVASTANAM,
 AMALAPURAM, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT.
 7. THE CHAIRMAN, SRI SRI SRI CHENNAMALLESWARA
 SWAMY DEVASTANAM, AMALAPURAM, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR
 KONASEEMA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, or order or direction particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus by declaring the action of 5th respondent in passing proceedings letter no Nil/2026 dated 13-04-2026 in not allowing petitioners their traditional responsibility of performing kalyana mahotsavam and Pushpa yagam ceremony of Sri Sri Chennamalleswara swamy temple to be held on 27th April 2026 as illegal, Arbitrary and Un Constitutional and consequently direct the 5th respondent to set aside the imputed proceedings letter no Nil/2026 dated 13-04-2026 and allow the petitioners to perform Kalyana Mahotsavam and Pushpayagam ceremony of Sri Chennamalleswara swamy temple to be held on 27th April 2026 and pass such other appropriate orders in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned proceedings letter No: Nil/2026 dated 13-04-2026 issued by 6th respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. BHUSARAPU B YESU BABU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO**WRIT PETITION No.11375 of 2026****ORDER:**

This matter was initially listed before this Court on 25.06.2026. On that day, there was no representation on behalf of petitioners. Thereafter, the matter was listed on 16.07.2026 and on that day, this Court directed to list on 20.07.2026 under the caption 'for dismissal'.

2. Today i.e., on 20.07.2026, the matter is listed under the caption 'for dismissal'. Despite the writ petition being listed under the caption 'for dismissal', there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Hence, it indicates that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the writ petition.

3. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 20.07.2026

KBN

15

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 11375 of 2026

Date: 20.07.2026

KBN