

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No.WP.No.9936 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
01	28.04.2026	<u>RRR, J & TCDS,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> The petitioner is operating a medical shop in premises bearing House No.29-19-79, Dornakal Road, Suryaraopet, Vijayawada. The said premises were taken on lease by the petitioner. It appears that a dispute has arisen in relation to the said lease, and the landlady, who is arrayed as the 3rd respondent, has initiated legal action for evicting the petitioner. The said proceedings are registered as OS No.2421 of 2022 on the file of II Additional Junior Civil Judge, Vijayawada. It further appears that the petitioner has also filed OS No.790 of 2022 before the III Additional Junior Civil Judge, Vijayawada, seeking permanent injunction restraining the 3rd respondent from evicting the petitioner. The said suit is said to have been decreed, granting an injunction restraining the 3rd respondent from dispossessing the petitioner except through lawful means. Apart from initiating aforesaid litigation, the 3rd respondent has also filed a complaint before the 2nd respondent alleging that the petitioner had obtained registration by fraud, contending that the lease deeds produced by the petitioner are forged and fabricated. The 2nd respondent, accepting the said	

		contention, cancelled the registration of the petitioner on the ground that it had been obtained by fraud. <i>Prima facie</i>, this view requires reconsideration, inasmuch as the 2nd respondent has recorded that the rental agreements produced were of the years 2017 and 2021. It appears that the lease deed of 2021 is not in dispute. However, the 3rd respondent has taken a stand that the said lease was only for a period of one year and could not be continued beyond that term. At this stage, there appears to be a <i>prima facie</i> case that the impugned order may require reconsideration, as the 2nd respondent could not have come to a firm conclusion of fraud, without recording the manner in which such fraud is said to have been committed. In the circumstances, there shall be an interim direction of suspension of the cancellation of registration of the petitioner. <u>WP.No.9936 of 2026</u> Post on 16.06.2026, for counters of the 3rd respondent and official respondents. _____ RRR, J _____ TCDS,J DSB	
--	--	--	--