



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 11645 of 2026

Between:

- 1.P S CHANDRA SEKHAR, S/O LATE P.SRINIVASULU AGE 43 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS R/O 6-2-175B HINDUPUR TOWN, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT 515201
- 2.P.S.VARUN RAJ, S/O LATE P.SRINIVASULU AGE 42 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS R/O 6-2-175B HINDUPUR TOWN, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT 515201
- 3.C.V.RAJESWARI, W/O LATE P.SRINIVASULU AGE 67 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE R/O 6-2-175B HINDUPUR TOWN, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT 515201
- 4.B.PADMA, /O LATE P.SRINIVASULU AGE45 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE R/O 3-11-10 HINDUPUR TOWN, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT 51520

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF AP, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY THE DEPARTMENT OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT 522237
- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT 515134
- 3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PUTTAPARTHI, SRI SATHYA

SAI DISTRICT 515134

4.THE HINDUPUR MUNICIPALITY, REP BY ITS MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER HINDUPUR TOWN, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT 515201

5.THE TAHSILDHAR, HINDUPUR MANDAL, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT 515201

6.THE DEPARTMENT OF ROADS BUILDINGS, REP BY SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, PUTTAPARTHI (R B) CIRCLE SRI SATHYA SAI, DISTRICT 515134

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring action on the part of Respondents 2 to 6 in making efforts to lay 40 Feet Public Road by demolishing Petitioners commercial Premises bearing No.6-2-175 with Assessment No. 1004007367 built on Open Site admeasuring an extent of 45 Square Yards situated at Dhana Lakshmi Road, in Town Survey No.508, Revenue Ward No.6, Block No.2, Hindupur Town, Sri Sathya Sai District, without acquiring Petitioners Property, without paying adequate monitory compensation as envisaged under provision of The Right to Fair Compensation Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation Resettlement Act, 2013, as illegal, arbitrary, against the principles of natural justice and consequently direct the Respondents 2 to 6 to not to demolish Petitioners commercial Premises bearing No.6-2-175 with Assessment No. 1004007367 built Open Site admeasuring on extent of 45 Square Yards situated at Dhana Lakshmi Road, in Town Survey No.508, Revenue Ward No.6, Block No.2, Hindupur Town, Sri Sathya Sai District, without acquiring, without paying adequate monitory compensation for laying 40 Feet Public Road and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

- 1.N CHANDRA SEKHAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR ROADS BUILDINGS
- 2.GP FOR REVENUE

WRIT PETITION NO: 11536 of 2026

Between:

1. PENUMAKA REENA MADHURIMA, W/O. KONA MADHUSUDHAN RAO, AGED 55 YEARS OCC HOUSEWIFE, R/O. D.NO.59A-21/4-5/4, LINE ROAD, PATAMATA, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT, PIN CODE - 520010.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522238.
2. THE VIJAYAWADA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER, VIJAYAWADA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, JAWAHARLAL NEHRU ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT - 520001.
3. THE ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER TOWN PLANNING / TOWN PLANNING OFFICER, VIJAYAWADA MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT - 520001.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents more particularly respondents 2 and 3 in marking the petitioner's residential house and compound wall for the purpose of demolition for 100 feet road widening and underground drainage line laying work, without issuing any prior notice and without initiating any land acquisition proceedings, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and without jurisdiction and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere in any manner with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner over the said property without initiating land acquisition proceedings and without paying compensation by following the due process of law and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents not to interfere in any manner with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner over the building and site property bearing D.No.59A-21/4-5/4 admeasuring 248 square yards in R.S. No. 148/1 situated at Line Road, Patamata, Vijayawada, and nor demolish any portion of the said property or compound wall for the purpose of road widening or drainage work, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.NIMMAGADDA REVATHI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

2.S.V.S.S.SIVARAM SC For VMC

The Court made the following

ORAL COMMON ORDER:

The facts of these cases would indicate that the Writ Petitioners are alleging the interference in the enjoyment of their properties concerned. The Writ Petitioners apprehended that they may be deprived of their properties without following due process of law.

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners has drawn the attention of this Court to an order dated 06.02.2026 passed in W.P.No.27736 of 2025 wherein this Court had directed the respondents to follow due process of law.

3. This Court, having perused the order dated 06.02.2026 in W.P.No.27736 of 2025, deems it appropriate to dispose of these present Writ Petitions in terms of the order passed by this Court on 06.02.2026.

4. Accordingly, these Writ Petitions are disposed of by common order, at the admission stage, without going into the merits of these cases, directing the respondents to follow due process of law including initiation of proceedings for acquiring the lands whenever the Municipal Corporation seeks widening of the road.

5. With these observations and directions, these Writ Petitions stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Date: 28.04.2026
M K K

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION Nos.11645 and 11536 of 2026

Date: 28.04.2026

M K K