



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3207]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1168/2026

Between:

1. INTI NAGA JYOTHI, S/O. SURESH, AGED 30 YEARS, OCC
HOUSEWIFE, R/O. D.NO. 1-183/1, MOGALIKUDURU VILLAGE,
MAMIDIKUDURU MANDAL, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA
DISTRICT- 533249.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. ADABALA SURYA SATYA PRABHAKARA RAO, S/O. SITARAMA
SWAMY, AGED 55 YEARS, OCC ADVOCATE, RAZOLE VILLAGE
AND MANDAL, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT-
533242.

2. YEDIDA SURYA KESAVA RAO, S/O. SURYANARAYANA, AGED 58
YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O. TATIPAKA VILLAGE, RAZOLE
MANDAL, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT. - 533249

3. ADDAGARLA SRIRAM KUMAR, S/O. UMA MAHESWARARAO, AGED
40 YEARS, OCC SOFTWARE ENGINEER, R/O. NANDAMURU
GARUVU VILLAGE, VEERAVASARAM MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI
DISTRICT. - 534243

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, praying that in the
circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be
pleased to beg to present this Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition

aggrieved by the dismissal Order dated 13-03- 2026 in I.A. No. 79 of 2026 in I.P. No. 1 of 2020 on the file of the Court of the Senior Civil Judge, Razole

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings in I.P.No.1 of 2020 on the file of the Court of the Senior Civil Judge, Razole pending disposal of the Civil revision petition and pass

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1190/2026

Between:

1.INTI NAGA JYOTHI, S/O. SURESH, AGED 30 YEARS, OCC HOUSEWIFE, R/O. D.NO. 1-183/1, MOGALIKUDURU VILLAGE, MAMIDIKUDURU MANDAL, DR.B.R.AMBEDKARKONASEEMA DISTRICT- 533249.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.ADABALA SURYA SATYA PRABHAKARA RAO, S/O. SITARAMA SWAMY, AGED 55 YEARS, OCC ADVOCATE, RAZOLE VILLAGE AND MANDAL, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT- 533242.

2.YEDIDA SURYA KESAVA RAO, S/O. SURYANARAYANA, AGED 58 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O.TATIPAKA VILLAGE, RAZOLE MANDAL, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT. - 533249

3.ADDAGARLA SRIRAM KUMAR, S/O. UMA MAHESWARARAO, AGED 40 YEARS, OCC SOFTWARE ENGINEER, R/O. NANDAMURU GARUVU VILLAGE, VEERAVASARAM MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT. - 534243

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased tobegs to present this Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition

aggrieved by the dismissal Order dated 13-03-2026 in I.A. No. 58 of 2026 in I.P. No. 1 of 2020 on the file of the Court of the Senior Civil Judge, Razole

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings in I.P.No.1 of 2020 on the file of the Court of the Senior Civil Judge, Razole pending disposal of the Civil revision petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.RAMBABU KOPPINEEDI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

WRIT PETITION Nos.1168 and 1190 of 2026

COMMON ORDER: -

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that these Civil Revision Petitions are filed against the decree and order dated 13.03.2026, passed in I.A.No.79 of 2026 and I.A.No.58 of 2026 in I.P.No.1 of 2020, wherein the petitions filed by the revision petitioner under Order XVIII Rule 17 read with Section 151 of the CPC, requesting the Court to reopen the matter and to recall PW1 for further cross-examination on some material facts and evidence to establish the truth, has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner requested the Court to follow the procedure provided in the judgment of this Court in ***Badana Mutyalamma and another vs. Palli Appalaraju***¹ by granting an opportunity to invoke a recall petition afresh.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and on careful perusal of the order, dated 13.03.2026, in I.A.No.58 of 2026 in I.P.No.1 of 2020, it appears that on the ground that the petitioner did not disclose as to on what material facts he wants to further cross-examine PW1, the said petition is dismissed.

4. Now, the petitioner has come forward to follow the procedure provided in the judgment of this Court stated supra and he will submit a sealed

¹ in 2016 (6) ALD 510

cover along with the questions, which he wants to put to the witness along with the petition.

5. In view of the same, this Court intends to give an opportunity to the petitioner to file an application afresh by following the procedure in the judgment of this Court in *Badana Mutyalamma's* case (supra), to meet the interest of justice.

6. Accordingly, the order impugned in these revision petitions are set aside with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh application as observed herein above.

7. In the result, both the Civil Revision Petitions are disposed of.

8. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

Date: 24.04.2026

SA

185 & 186

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NOS.1168 & 1190 of 2026

Dt.24.04.2026

SA