

APHC010192192016



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3457]**

TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 20416/2016**

**Between:**

1.PASUPULATI PADMAVATHI,, S/O VENKATA RAMANA, AGE:  
44YEARS, OCC: HOUSE HOLD, RIO H.NO.19-7-29, ONGOLE CITY,  
PRAKASHAM DISTRICT.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL  
SECRETARY, (MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION) SECRETARIAT,  
HYDERABAD.

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ONGOLE, PRAKASHAM DISTRICT.

3.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, ONGOLE, PRAKASHAM  
DISTRICT.

4.THE MANDAL REVENUE OFFICER, ONGOLE, PRAKASHAM  
DISTRICT.

5.THE ONGOLE CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION REPRESENTED  
BY, ITS COMMISSIONER, ONGOLE CITY. PRAKASHAM DISTRICT

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus to declare the action of the Respondents in respect of the property of the petitioner bearing H.No 19-7-29, in survey No.14/1, Ongole

City and Mandal, Prakasham District as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the Respondents not to demolish the property of the petitioner bearing H.No 19-7-29, in survey No:14/1, Ongole City an,1Mandal, Prakasham District in the interest of Justice

**IA NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP 25037 OF 2016**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No.3 to 5 not to demolish the property of the petitioner bearing H.No.19-7-29, in survey No.14/1, Ongole City and Mandal, Prakasham District till pending disposal of writ petition in the interest of justice and pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case. Counsel for the Petitioner.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.SREENIVASA RAO RAVULAPATI

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR REVENUE (AP)

2.ANCHA PANDURANGA RAO

3.GP-MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV(AP)

**ORDER:**

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner(s).
2. Sri A.S.C.Bose, learned Standing counsel appearing for the respondent Municipality, submits that the petitioner has filed the writ petition challenging the action of respondents in respect of the property of the petitioner, and a direction not to demolish the same is sought for. It is submitted that this Court, *vide* order dated 24.06.2016, granted interim direction as prayed for; however, made it clear that the respondents could proceed in accordance with law.
3. Recording the submission, the present writ petition is disposed off in terms of the interim order granted earlier. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

Date:16.06.2026  
NKA

---

**JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

57

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 20416 of 2016**

**Date: 16.06.2026**

*NKA*