



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3206]

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R RAGHUNANDAN RAO

CIVIL REVISION PETITION Nos.: 1216, 1217, 1218 & 1474/2026

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1216/2026

Between:

1.SURE SESHAGIRI RAO, , S/O. HARINADH, BUSINESS, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, RESIDENT OF DOOR NO.9-67/2, NEAR AKSHARA SCHOOL, PIDUGURALLA TOWN, PALNADU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.KONAKANDLA KASI RAMARAO, S/o. Puma Chandra Rao, adopted Son of K. Venkateswara Rao, Business aged about 50 years, Business, Resident of Door No.15-71, Near Old Vikas School, Netaji Nagar, Piduguralla Town and Mandal, Palnadu District.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased tobegs to present this Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition having been aggrieved not passing any orders by the execution court in E.A. No. 16 of 2025 (Cheque Petition) in E.P. No. 39 of 2023 in O.S. No. 224 of 2019, on the file of on the file of the Civil Judge(Junior Division), at Piduguralla

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

pleased to direct Hon'ble the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division),
Piduguralla to pass orders in E.A. No. 16 of 2025 (Cheque Petition) in E.P.
No. 39 of 2023 in O.S. No. 224 of 2019 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SATYANARAYANA NIMMALA

Counsel for the Respondent:

1.

The Court made the following Common Order:

In all these cases, the petitioners had filed separate suits against a common respondent, namely the respondent in the present cases.

2. These suits came to be decreed and Execution Petitions also came to be filed. In the course of these Execution Petitions, a sum of Rs.68,31,167/-, available in the bank account of the respondent, had been deposited, in these suits. Thereafter, the petitioners had filed applications for release of money deposited in these accounts. These applications, which have been filed about 1 ½ years back, have not yet been disposed of.

3. The details of the petitioners, the suits and the execution applications filed by them are set out herein below:

Name of the petitioner	Suit filed and the Court	Execution Application No.	Date of the E.A. filed	Amount sought under the E.A (in Rs.)
S.Seshagiri Rao	E.P.No.39/2023 in O.S.No.224/2019 on the file of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Piduguralla	E.A.No.16/2025	09.01.2025	73,793/-
S. Hema Latha	E.P.No.38/2023 in O.S.No.22/2020 on the file of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Piduguralla	E.A.No.13/2025	09.01.2025	3,24,383/-

S. Hema Latha	E.P.No.40/2023 in O.S.No.216/2019 on the file of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Piduguralla	E.A.No.17/2025	09.01.2025	4,35,998/-
V. Anjaneyulu	E.P.No.41/2023 in O.S.No.27/2020 on the file of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Piduguralla	E.A.No.15/2025	09.01.2025	2,78,483/-

4. All these petitioners have approached this Court, with the contention that these cheque petitions, filed for withdrawal of the aforesaid amounts, are not being disposed of. It is also admitted by the petitioners that certain third parties have sought pro rata payments to them and the applications filed by such third parties are also pending.

5. The primary contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is that the pendency of these applications, over the last 1 ½ years, is causing untold hardship to the petitioners, inasmuch as they are not able to obtain benefit of the decrees, granted in their favour and that non-disposal of these cheque petitions, is not on account of any hurdles before the Executing Court.

6. In view of the fact that the cheque petitions have been kept pending for the last 1 ½ years, it would only be appropriate that a direction is

given to the Executing Court to dispose of these Execution Applications, at the earliest.

7. Accordingly, these Civil Revision Petitions are allowed, directing the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) at Piduguralla, to dispose of the aforesaid Execution Applications, within a period of two (02) months from the date of receipt of this order.

8. Notice to the respondents is waived as early disposal of the Execution Applications would not, in any manner, prejudice the interests of the respondents. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO, J

Date:08.05.2026

MJA

145

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO

CIVIL REVISION PETITION Nos.: 1216, 1217, 1218 & 1474/2026

08.05.2026

MJA