



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 10714/2026

Between:

1.TATAVARTHI SRINIVASU, S/O. T.P.MOHAN RAO GUPTA AGED
ABOVE MAJOR, R/O. A.P.H.B.COLONY, BESIDES SUSHIL NEST
HOTEL, BHIMAVARAM, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT, A.P-534203.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, MA AND UD DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
BUILDINGS AT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.

2.THE COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION,
AMARAVATAHI, ANDHRA PRADESH.

3.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT AT
BHIMAVARAM-534203.

4.THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, BHIMAVARAM MUNICIPALITY,
W.G DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH-534201

5.THE TAHSILDAR, BHIMAVARAM, W.G. DISTRICT, ANDHRA
PRADESH-534201

6.KORLAPRALA SUBBA RAO, S/O. NOT KNOWN THE PETITIONER
AGED ABOVE MAJOR, R/O. BONDA VARI STREET, NEAR UNION
BANK OF INDIA, BHIMAVARAM, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT-
534201

7.KADALI BALU, S/O. NOT KNOWN THE PETITIONER. AGED ABOVE MAJOR, R/O. HOUSING BOARD COLONY, BHIMAVARAM, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT-534203.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.THOTA DHARMENDRA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.SIREESHA RANI VALLABHANENI SC For MUNICIPALITIES

3.GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Sri S. Siva Nagarjuna, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner, Sri Smt. Sireesha Rani Vallabhaneni, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities and Sri M. Srinu Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development.

2. In compliance of the Order of this Court dated 23.04.2026, the Commissioner, Bhimavaram Municipality, has submitted the written instructions dated 23.04.2026. Copy of it is supplied to the Counsel for the Petitioner and the same is taken on record.

3. This Court has taken note of the contents of the Written Instructions, particularly Para Nos.2 and 4, which indicate that, *vide* Proceedings dated 22.04.2026, the Unofficial Respondents were asked to stop the construction. The Unofficial Respondent No.6 has submitted a Representation on 23.04.2026 stating that he had no knowledge that permission was required for construction of the shed. It is also stated in the Written Instructions that the Unofficial Respondent has now applied for permission, and the same is pending before the Competent Authority.

4. Insofar as the Application submitted by the Unofficial Respondent No.6 seeking permission is concerned, the Official Respondents are at liberty to consider the Application in accordance with law and pass a Speaking Order.
5. The Respondents are directed to issue Notice to the Petitioner and consider the objections of the Petitioner before passing the final Order.
6. With these observations and directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.
7. In the above premise, Order of *Status-quo* shall be maintained till the passing of the final Speaking Orders by the Official Respondents in accordance with law and communicated to the Writ Petitioner.
8. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt: 27.04.2026

DSV

45

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO: 10714/2026

27.04.2026

DSV

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI**MAIN CASE No : WRIT PETITION NO: 10714/2026****PROCEEDING SHEET**

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
2.	27.04.2026	<u>GRKP, J</u> Writ Petition is disposed of. (vide separate order) DSV <u>GRKP, J</u>	

--	--	--	--

