

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO: W.P.No.10607 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	21.04.2026	<u>MRK, J</u> 1. Sri C. Diwakar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, mainly assailed the impugned order on the ground that the respondent authorities have not followed the mandatory procedure prescribed under the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (Act), more particularly under Section 45-A thereof. According to the counsel for the petitioner, the respondent authorities have not passed any order under Section 45-A of the Act and straight away issued proceedings No.70Q/70000523370000499 dated 10.09.2025 under Section 45-G of the Act. 2. He also placed reliance on the decision in <i>Hem Kumar Gogoi v. Employees' State Insurance Corporation and Others</i>, reported in (2017) 1 Gauhati Law Reports 137 in support of assertion. 3. Conversely, Sri U.R.P. Srinivas, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Employees' State Insurance Corporation seeks time to get instructions a) whether orders have been passed by the ESI Authorities u/s. 45-A of the ESI Act, 1948? b) and, if so, were orders communicated	(contd..)

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		to the petitioner with proper acknowledgment. 4. Hence, this Court hereby directing the respondents counsel to get instructions in the following queries : a) whether orders have been passed by the ESI Authorities u/s. 45-A of the ESI Act, 1948? b) and, if so, were orders communicated to the petitioner with proper acknowledgment? 5. Therefore, in the aforesaid view, the respondents are hereby directed not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner pursuant to proceedings No. 70Q/70000523370000499 dated 09.02.2026 issued by the 3rd respondent for the period of eight (08) weeks. 6. List on 28.04.2026. <u>MRK, J</u> RMR	