



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3208]

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 10677/2026

Between:

1. DHULIPALA SRINIVASA SASTRY, S/O D. V. N. SITARAMA KRISHNA, AGED 52 YEARS, OCCUPATION VIOLIN ARTIST, RESIDENCY 17-187 NEAR ANJANAYA SWAMY TEMPLE, L.N PURAM VENGALAYAPALEM, GUNTUR 522005.
2. M. KUMAR JOY,, S/O M.K. KUMAR, AGED 37 YEARS, OCCUPATION KEYBOARD ARTIST, RESIDENCY 4-42/1, ESWARA KULA STREET TIRUCHANUR TIRUPATI DIST 517503.
3. P. AMARAVATHI,, D/O P. KRISHNA REDDY, AGED 44 YEARS, OCCUPATION MRUDANGAM ARTIST, RESIDENCY133 L.S NAGAR TIRUPATHI.
4. B. VENKATA SUBBAMMA,, D/O B. VENKATESWARLU, AGED 39 YEARS, OCCUPATION SRUTHI ARTIST, RESIDENCY 1-4-413 KOMANERETHOPA TIRUPATHI.
5. K. RAMADEVI,, D/O K. VASUDEVA REDDY, AGED 38 YEARS, OCCUPATION HARIKATHA ARTIST, RESIDENCY ERRAGUNTAPALLIBIPACHIKAPALAMVEDURUKUPPAM TIRUPATHI (DIST) PIN 517569.
6. B. REDDEMMA,, D/O B. RAMI REDDY, AGED 48 YEARS, OCCUPATION HARIKATHA ARTIST, RESIDENCY 3-37 SRI CHAKRA GARDEN AVILALA TIRUPATHI, 517501.

7. P. KOWSALYA,, D/O M. CHINNA REDDAPPA, AGED 46 YEARS, OCCUPATION HARIKATHA ARTIST, RESIDENCY 21-2-63/6/2 JOURNALIST COLONY 2ND LINE YERRAMITTI TIRUPATI.
8. P. RAJESH KUMAR,, S/O P. DAYANANDA REDDY, AGED 47 YEARS, OCCUPATION VOCAL ARTIST, RESIDENCY 1-14/A MADHAVARAM THAVANAPALLI CHITTOOR A.P 517129.
9. K. UMA SANKAR REDDY,, S/O K. CHALAPATHI REDDY, AGED 35 YEARS, OCCUPATION MRUDANGAM ARTIST, RESIDENCY 8-54 VISALAKKARAKANDLAPALI RANGA SAMUDHRAM CHITTOOR (DIST).
- 10.J. GANAPATHI SANKAR,, S/O J. MALLAIAH, AGED 38 YEARS, OCCUPATION MRUDANGAM ARTIST, RESIDENCY 3-68/1, ENVENKATAPURAMEGUVATHODAKARA CHITTOOR A.P 517131.
- 11.M. PURUSHOTHAM,, S/O M. CHINNA RANGIAH, AGED 44 YEARS, OCCUPATION VOCAL ARTIST, RESIDENCY F.NO. 314 3RD FLR AMRUTHA SAI RESIDENCY GANDIPET MANIKONDA (DIST) R.V. RANGAREDDY (STATE) TELANGANA 500089.
- 12.M. NARAYANA SWAMY,, S/O B. M. MUNIYAPPA, AGED 45 YEARS, OCCUPATION VOCAL ARTIST, RESIDENCY 28-19/2 KOTHAINDLUPUNGANUR CHITTOOR (DIST).
- 13.K. NAGALAKSHMI,, D/O K. VENKATAMALLU, AGED 50 YEARS, OCCUPATION HARIKATHA ARTIST, RESIDENCY 1-6-708 INDIRANAGAR TIRUPATHI - 517501.
- 14.E. JYOTHSNA,, D/O E. LOKANADHAM, AGED 39 YEARS, OCCUPATION HARIKATHA ARTIST, RESIDENCY 2-16, MOTANDLA PALLI, UTC-YADAMARI, CHITTOOR(DT) PIN 517422.
- 15.K. KUMARASWAMY,, S/O K. SUBRAMANYAM, AGED 45 YEARS, OCCUPATION TABALA ARTIST, RESIDENCY 27-445 BAZAAR STREET NEWPET, PALAMANUR CHITTOOR (DIST) PIN 517408

16.D. YUVARAJ,, S/O D. CHENCHU KRISHNA REDDY(LATE),
AGED 39 YEARS ,OCCUPATION IKEYBOARD PLAYER, 10-1-
67, AKUTHOTA STREET, TIRUPATI-517501

17.P.VENUGOPAL KRISHNA,, S/O CHENCHU KRISHNA PILLAI,
AGED 45 YEARS, 2-3, VIKRUTHAMALA, YERPEDU,
PAPANAIDUPET, CHITTOOR, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1.TIRUMALA TIRUPATI DEVASTANAM, Rep. by its Executive
Officer, Tirupati, Tirupati District.51750

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the Nature of Writ of Mandamus direct the respondent devasthanam to regularise the services of the petitioner from the date on which they are working in Annamacharya 6 Project with all consequential benefits and/ or pay the scale attached to the post in the existing post or to create posts it need be in view of the perennial nature of the work and further direct the respondent devasthanam not to dilute the activities and the status of Annamacharya Project and not to disengage the services of the petitioner, who have been working with the project for the last several years, under the guise of bringing several projects, which are unconnected and not perennial under one Umbrella and any steps taken by the respondent devasthanam contrary to interest of the petitioners as bad, illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, unfair and unconstitutional by issuance and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to disengage the petitioners and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to pay minimum time scale to the petitioners as was done by the respondents in several other cases

IA NO: 3 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to regularise the services of the petitioners as was done by the respondents in several other cases and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.J SUDHEER

Counsel for the Respondent:

1.V.DYUMANI (SC for TTD)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The present Writ Petition is filed, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the following relief:

“to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or an appropriate writ or order direct the respondent devasthanam to regularise the services of the petitioner from the date on which they are working in Annamacharya 6 Project with all consequential benefits and/ or pay the scale attached to the post in the existing post or to create posts it need be in view of the perennial nature of the work and further direct the respondent devasthanam not to dilute the activities and the status of Annamacharya Project and not to disengage the services of the petitioner, who have been working with the project for the last several years, under the guise of bringing several projects, which are unconnected and not perennial under one Umbrella and any steps taken by the respondent devasthanam contrary to interest of the petitioners as bad, illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, unfair and unconstitutional by issuance and pass....”

2. Heard Mr.J.Sudheer, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned standing counsel for respondents.
3. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the similar circumstances the coordinate Bench of this Court passed common order in a batch of Writ Petitions vide W.P.Nos.8801 of 2021 and batch on 23.01.2026 and the issue involved in the present Writ Petition is similar to that of the issue involved in the above said batch of writ petitions and prays to pass the same order.
4. Perused the common order dated 23.01.2026 in W.P.No.8801 of 2021 and batch and the issue involved in this Writ Petition is similar to the issue involved in the said batch.

5. In view of the same, the Writ Petition is disposed in the same lines as that of W.P.Nos.8801 of 2021 and batch. No costs.

6. Registry to tag a copy of the common order in W.P.Nos.8801 of 2021 and batch dated 23.01.2026 to this Writ Petition.

As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications pending, if any, in the writ petition, shall also stand closed.

27.4.2026
RD

JUSTICE D.RAMESH

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.RAMESH

WRIT PETITION No.10677 OF 2026

27.4.2026

RD