

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



: PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

IA No. 1 OF 2024

IN

CRP NO: 877 OF 2024

Between:

T.V.Ramesh, S/o T.Sivaiah, Hindu, Aged about 60 Years, Agriculturist, R/o
H.No.8 - 106 - A, Nehru Nagar, Veldurthy Village, Kurnool District.

...Petitioner

AND

G.N.Prithvi Raj, S/o G.Ramesh Babu, Aged about 23 Years, Business, R/o
H.No.40 - 30A - 20, Medum Compound, Kurnool.

...Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri Vivekananda Virupaksha

Counsel for the Respondent: Sri Varun Byreddy

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in O.S.No.99 of 2018 on the file of 1st Additional District Judge, Kurnool, dated 14.03.2024, Pending disposal of CRP No.877 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the

following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case). The Court made the following

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in a suit for recovery of money, the revision petitioner/defendant filed I.A.No.201 of 2023 in O.S.No.99 of 2018 duly praying the Court below to summon 2 witnesses to defend his case by explaining the reasons for issuing such summons and to examine the said witnesses. The Court below erroneously dismissed the said application without even taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case in a right perspective and also failed to see the purport of Order XVI Rules 1 & 2 of CPC.

He further submitted that though the Civil Revision Petition is filed in time, as there is no stay, the Court proceeded further and closed the evidence and posted the matter for arguments. In the meantime, if the suit is decided, the very purpose of filing the Revision Petition will be defeated and the right to defend the suit would also be affected, as such prayed to grant interim order for a limited period, pending consideration of the Revision.

Perused the record.

Prima facie, a point has been made out for consideration. As rightly contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, if the suit is decided in the meantime, the purpose of filing the Revision Petition would be defeated, as such, this Court is inclined to pass the following interim order:

"There shall be stay of all further proceedings in O.S.No.99 of 2018 on the file of the I Additional District Judge, Kurnool, for a period of eight (08) weeks."

//TRUE COPY//

For

SD/- K.J.RAJA BABU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The 1st Additional District Judge Kurnool
2. G.N.Prithvi Raj, S/o G.Ramesh Babu, Aged about 23 Years, Business, R/o H.No.40 - 30A - 20, Medum Compound, Kurnool.(By RPAD)
3. One CC to Sri Vivekananda Virupaksha, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri Varun Byreddy, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

RC, J

DATED: 23/04/2026

POST ON 16.06.2026

ORDER

IA No. 1 OF 2024

IN

CRP NO: 877 OF 2024

INTERIM STAY

