



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3209]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1102/2026

Between:

1. DURGA PRASAD JAGARLAMUDI, S/O. LATE JAGARLAMUDI VASUDEVA PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS, R/O. FLAT NO. 101, SRI SIRI APARTMENTS, PLOT NO. 28, SUNDER NAGAR, ERRAGADDA, HYDERABAD - 500 038.
2. VASUDEV JAGARLAMUDI, S/O. DURGA PRASAD JAGARLAMUDI, AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS, R/O. ATHENA C - 101, LODHA CASA PARADISE, SANATH NAGAR, HYDERABAD - 500 18.
3. NISHA PARIKH, , D/O. JYOTHIN PARIKH, AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS, R/O. ATHENA C- 101, LODHA CASA PARADISE, SANATH NAGAR, HYDERABAD - 500 18.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. S CHENCHU KIRAN KUMAR, S/O. S. CHENCHU KRISHNAMA NAIDU, AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, R/O 1-7, THANGELLAPALEM VILLAGE, BHAVANISANKARAPURAM POST, THOTTAMBEDU SUB-DISTRICT, TIRUPATI DISTRICT, ANDHRA

PRADESH.

- 2.SATHYANARAYANA VINOD KUMAR, S/O RAMAIAH SATYANARAYANA, AGED NOT KNOWN TO THE PLAINTIFF, R/O 468 13TH A CROSS VYALIKAVAl, MALLESWARAM BENGALURU , KARNATAKA 560003
- 3.SATHYANARAYANA BHARATH KUMAR, S/O RAMAIAH SATYANARAYANA, AGED NOT KNOWN TO THE PLAINTIFF, R/O 468, 13TH A CROSS VYALIKAVAl, MALLESWARAM, BENGALURU, KARNATAKA 560003

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased toPleased to allow this Civil Revision Petition by setting aside the Impugned Order dated 30.03.2026 passed by the Ld. Civil Judge (Senior Division), Srikalahasti, in IA No. 332 / 2026 in OS No. 16 / 2026, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to dispense with the filing of the certified copy of impugned order dated 30.03.2026 passed by the Ld. Civil Judge (Senior Division), Srikalahasti, in I.A No. 332/2026 in OS No. 16 / 2026 and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to suspend the operation of the Impugned Order dated 30.03.2026 passed by the Ld. Civil Judge (Senior Division), Srikalahasti, in IA No. 332 / 2026 in OS No. 16 / 2026, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.VIMAL VARMA VASI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.KANDERI CHETHAN

The Court made the following:

ORDER:-

Heard Mr.N.Subba Rao, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and also Mr.K.Chetan, learned counsel for the respondent No.1.

2. The present revision petition is filed against the order dated 30.03.2026 passed in I.A.No.332 of 2026 in O.S.No.16 of 2026 on the file of the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Srikalahasthi.

3. The said interlocutory application was filed under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of C.P.C by the respondent /plaintiff. The suit is filed seeking permanent injunction against the defendants etc., from in any manner interfering with the peaceful possession enjoyment of the plaintiff over the plaint schedule property including removing or attempting to remove the raw materials, herbal Agro-products (Herbal Pesticides, Fertilizers, weedicides etc.) and other materials belonging to the plaintiff stored therein.

4. The learned senior counsel seeks to address arguments with reference to maintainability of the suit itself. However, taking note of the submission made by the learned counsel for the

respondent / plaintiff that in I.A.No.332 of 2026 counter has been filed and matter now stands posted to 29.04.2026. In view of the same, this Court is not inclined to examine the matter on merits.

5. However, taking into consideration the urgency expressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner and as it is stated by the learned counsel for the respondent / plaintiff that they are also ready to co-operate with the disposal of I.A.No.332 of 2026, this court without expressing any opinion on the merits, deems it fit to dispose of the revision petition, providing that learned Civil Judge (Senior Division) shall make an endeavor to dispose of the said interlocutory application, in accordance with law after giving due opportunity to the concerned parties as expeditiously as possible.

No costs.

JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

24.04.2026

GRL

Note:

CC by two days.

85

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

C.R.P. No.1102 of 2026

24.04.2026

GRL

Note:

CC by two days.

