



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 9666/2025

Between:

1.VELAGA SRIRAMA MURTHY, S/O RAMA RAO, AGE 48 YEARS,
RESIDENT OF KOMATIGUNTA VILLAGE, GOPLAPURAM MANDAT,
EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (CS. I)
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI,
GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

3.THE JOINT COLLECTOR CIVIL SUPPLIES,
RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

4.THE DISTRICT CIVIL SUPPLY OFFICER, EAST GODAVARI,
RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.

5.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KOVVURU, EAST GODAVARI
DISTRICT.

6.THE TAHSILDAR, GOPLAPURAM MANDAL EAST GODAVARI
DISTRICT.

7.THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR, GOPLAPURAM MANDAL EAST
GODAVARI DISTRICT.

8.SRI MATANGIMATHA AGROS PRIVATE LIMITED, REP.BY ITS
MANGING DIRECTOR KOMATIGUNTA VILLAGE, GOPLALAPURAM
MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue may be pleased to issue a Writ or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents in not taking any action against the petitioner's representation dt. 22.01,2025, regarding to stop the further Rice supply and other charges to the 8th respondent, in view of the Criminal cases filed against the 8th respondent rice mill which is illegal, arbitrary, against the principles of natural justice and violation of Article 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently to direct the Respondents no.2 to 4, to take necessary action on the petitioner's representation, dt. 22.01.2025 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 3 and 4 to consider the petitioner's representation dt.22.01.2025; pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.ALA CHANDRASEKHAR YADAV

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following order:

Though the matter is listed today under the caption 'For Dismissal', there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. On earlier occasions also *i.e.*, on 15.04.2026, 05.03.2026 and 18.02.2026, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It shows that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case.

Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, all the pending miscellaneous applications are closed.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

Date: 29.04.2026

MP

158

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 9666 of 2025

Date: 29.04.2026

MP