

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.18064 of 2013

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
15.	03.02.2026	<u>HN, J</u> Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the service benefits of the petitioner's father have not been released and the respondents are insisting on the petitioner to submit afresh legal heir certificate by referring to the petitioner's father's name as Kallepalli Akkunaidu as Ponthapalli Apparao. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has submitted the family member certificate of Ponthapalli Apparao and the petitioner's name along with the sister's name and wife of the petitioner's brother's name is mentioned. The respondents in their records have recorded the name of the petitioner's father as K.Akkunaidu and not as Ponthapalli Apparao. When the petitioner submitted an application for appointment on compassionate terms, the respondents have registered C.C.No.329 of 2004 against the petitioner and his brother under Sections 419 and 420 of IPC. It is alleged in the complaint that the petitioner and his	Contd.,

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		brother have impersonated themselves as sons of Kallepalli Akkunaidu. The said case ended up in acquittal after a full-fledged trial. The prosecution witness P.W.5 had stated in the cross-examination that late Ponthapalli Apparao was also called as Akkunaidu and that the villagers of Saripalli also stated the surname of Kallepalli is prevalent in the community of Dhobis. On these considerations, the learned Magistrate has held that the accused have not resorted to impersonation and that they have proved the case beyond all reasonable doubt. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents submits that as per the service records, it is mentioned as K.Akkunaidu and that the petitioner would have to submit afresh legal heir certificate of K.Akkunaidu instead of P.Apparao. Considering the submissions, the respondents also do not deny that so far there has been no claim for the service benefits of the late employee, though the late employee passed away in the year 1995.	Contd.,

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Learned counsel for the respondent to ascertain from the respondents as to what is the outstanding amount due payable towards the service benefits of the deceased employee. Post on 10.02.2026. Registry is directed to delete the matter from the caption "For Dismissal". HN, J SR	