



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3558]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

WRIT APPEAL NO: 496/2026

Writ Appeal under clause 15 of the Letters Patent to allow the Writ Appeal by setting aside the Order dated 11.12.2025 in W.P No. 31565 of 2025 and consequently to dismiss W.P. No. 31565 of 2025

Between:

1. KOMMA UMA DEVI, W/O. LATE KOMMA BHASKAR, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O. D NO. 7/F2, BALIJAPALLI STREET, RAJAMPETA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT. 516115.

...APPELLANT

AND

1. PAMURU SANTHAMMA, W/O. P. VENKATESH, AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS, R/O. BAVIKADAPALLI, PLLAMPET MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT. - 516 127
2. THE STATE OF AP, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY REVENUE DEPARTMENT, A.P. SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 522001
3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT AT RAYACHOTI - 516 269
4. THE JOINT COLLECTOR, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT, DISTRICT COLLECTOR OFFICE, RAYACHOTI. - 516269
5. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, RAJAMPET REVENUE DIVISION ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT 516127

6.THE TAHSILDAR, PULLAMPET MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT -
516127

...RESPONDENT(S):

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to dispense the filing of the original Order copy dated 11.12.2025 in W.P. No. 31565 of 2025 and to permit the appellant to file its downloaded copy,

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be grant leave to the Appellant/Third Party. To file Writ Appeal challenging the Order dated 11.12.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P. No. 31565 of 2025,

IA NO: 3 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 86 days in filling the above Writ Appeal

IA NO: 4 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the Appellant/Third Party, to file relevant documents In the Writ Appeal challenging the Order dated 11.12.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P. No. 31565 of 2025,

IA NO: 5 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the Order dated 11.12.2025 in W.P. No.31565 of 2025 pending disposal of main Writ Appeal

Counsel for the Appellant:

1.KALEEMULLA S

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.KANDERI CHETHAN

The Court made the following:

I.A.No.2 of 2026**IN/AND****WRIT APPEAL No.496 of 2026****The Court made the following:****JUDGMENT:** *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Cheekati Manavendranath Roy)*

Heard Sri M.Sohail Shareef, learned counsel representing Sri Kaleemulla S, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Petitioner is not party to the writ petition in W.P.No.31565 of 2025. She is a third party to the said writ petition. She seeks leave to challenge the order of the learned Single Judge passed in the above writ petition. Even according to her own admission she has nothing to do with the subject land. Her only grievance is that she is the adjoining land owner of the subject land and that she got right to have ingress and egress through the subject land and on account of the order passed by the learned Single Judge, the said right is affected and thereby she sought leave to file the present appeal against order of the learned Single Judge.

3. The order of the learned Single Judge only pertains to granting liberty to the respondents in the writ petition who are the official respondents to initiate appropriate proceedings and pass orders in accordance with law by following due process and till such orders are passed the respondents are directed not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the writ petitioner over the said property.

4. By the said order, the petitioner is nowhere aggrieved. When she has no right over the subject land and when she claims only right of ingress and egress to the said land, we do not see any valid legal ground to grant leave to her to prefer appeal against the order of the learned Single Judge. If at all her right to have ingress and egress through the said land is affected, she has to

pursue her remedy in the appropriate forum according to law. Therefore, the petition in I.A.No.2 of 2026, seeking leave to prefer appeal is dismissed.

5. Consequently, the Writ Appeal also stands dismissed and all other applications are closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

Date: 24.04.2026
JLV