



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 10083/2026

Between:

1.K CHINNA VENKATA SUBBAIAH, S/O. PEDDAIAH, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3/140-7-3, GANDHI NAGAR, RAILWAY STATION ROAD, RAJAMPETA TOWN AND MANDAT, PERMANENT RESIDING AT KAMMAVARIPALLI VILLAGE, PORUMAMILLA MANDAL, YSR (KADAPA) DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, A.P. SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522503
- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, YSR (KADAPA) DISTRICT-516001
- 3.THE JOINT COLLECTOR, YSR (KADAPA) DISTRICT-516001
- 4.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, BADVEL, YSR (KADAPA) DISTRICT-516227
- 5.THE TAHSILDAR, B.MATTAM MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT-516193
- 6.THE TAHSILDAR, PORUMAMILLA MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT-516193
- 7.BOYAPATI SREENIVASULU, S/O. VENGAIAH, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, RESIDENT OF B.KAMMAVARIPALLE VILLAGE, B.MATTAM MANDAL, YSR (KADAPA) DISTRICT-516193

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or orders or direction or a writ one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents more particularly respondent No.4 in initiating proceedings pursuant to the complaint made by the respondent No.7 by seeking to review Web-land entries and cancel the registered document bearing No.6298/2022 and thereby issuing notices vide Ref Case No. E/066/2025 dated 15.09.2025, 10.11.2025 and 27.01.2026 by the respondent No.4 to the petitioner is as being without jurisdiction, illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently set aside the notice vide Ref Case No. E/066/2025, dated 15.09.2025, 10.11.2025 and 27.01.2026 and all further proceedings arising therefrom and direct the respondents not to initiate any complaint in respect of the land to an extent of Ac.0.29 cents in Survey No.287 of Ranga Samudram Village fields. Porumamilla Mandal, YSR (Kadapa) District and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings pursuant to the notices vide Ref: Case No. E/066/2025, dated 15.09.2025, 10.11.2025 and 27.01.2026, pending disposal of the main writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SHAIK MOHAMMED ISMAIL

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 6.

2. The issuance of notice to the 7th respondent is dispensed with as no prejudice would cause to him even if it is disposed of in his absence.

3. This writ petition is filed questioning the notices issued by the 4th respondent successively dated 15.09.2025, 10.11.2025 and 27.01.2026 calling for enquiry in pursuance of the complaint given by the unofficial respondent herein.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the unofficial respondent cannot seek cancellation of the registered document No.6298/2022, when the suit is pending, the complainant is seeking the correction of online entries made in the webland portal.

5. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondent Nos.1 to 6 submits that since it is only the notices of the 4th respondent, the petitioner can submit his explanation to them and if so, the same will be considered by the said respondent, following the due procedure.

6. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to submit his explanation, enclosing all the necessary documents in support of his claim to the above said notices of the 4th respondent dated

15.09.2025, 10.11.2025 and 27.01.2026 within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. On receipt of such explanation from the petitioner, the 4th respondent shall proceed with the necessary enquiry, pursuant to the above said notices, strictly in accordance with law, by hearing all the parties concerned, including the petitioner and the 7th respondent, giving due opportunity, upon verification of the records and the subject land, appropriate decision shall be taken on its own merits as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four (04) months thereafter. Pending the enquiry, there shall be an order of *status quo* as on today to be maintained with respect to the subject lands covered under the above said notices dated 15.09.2025, 10.11.2025 and 27.01.2026 of the 4th respondent including the amendment of online entries in the webland portal in respect of the mutations of the parties concerned. In default of submitting the above said explanation by the petitioner, the interim protection granted by this Court stands automatically vacated.

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

20.04.2026
PGT