



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 12592/2026

Between:

- 1.D PRAMEELAMMA, W/O. D.MALLA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.4-10-219, PRASANTHI NAGAR, NEAR SUJATHA HALL PULIVENDULA, Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 2.D.SIVA SANKAR REDDY,, S/O. D.MALLA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-3A, DONDLAVAGU VILLAGE, LINGALA MANDAL Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 3.D.THULASAMMA,, W/O. D.SIVA SANKAR REDDY, AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 2-3A, DONDLAVAGU VILLAGE, LINGALA MANDAL Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 4.D.CHAITANYA REDDY,, S/O. D.SIVA SANKAR REDDY, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.4-10-219, PRASANTHI NAGAR, PULIVENDULA Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 5.D.YASWANTH REDDY,, S/O. D.SIVA SANKAR REDDY, AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.4-10-219, PRASANTHI NAGAR, PULIVENDULA Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 6.SINGAM SHRAVANI,, W/O. YASWANTH REDDY, AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.4-10-219, PRASANTHI NAGAR, PULIVENDULA, Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.522238

- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT,
KADAPA.516001
- 3.THE JOINT COLLECTOR, Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT,
KADAPA.516001
- 4.THE DISTRICT REVENUE OFFICER, JOINT COLLECTOR OFFICE
Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.516001
- 5.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PULIVENDULA REVENUE
DIVISION Y.S.R KADAPA DISTRICT.516390
- 6.THE TAHSILDAR, LINGALA MANDAL, Y.S.R KADAPA
DISTRICT.516396

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the Notice in Ref.No.REV- DSECOROR(ROR)/82/2025-SA-DSEC-KDPCO), dated 27.04.2026 issued by the respondent No.4 stating that, the material evidence submitted by the us are insufficient and therefore, a full-fledged Suo Motu Enquiry is required to be conducted under Section 9 of the A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 in respect of the land in an extent of Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.15/lb, Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.15- 5, Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No. 15-6, Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No. 15-7 and Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.150-6 and Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.150-7 of Dondlavagu Village, Lingala Mandal, Y.S.R Kadapa District, belong to us, and thereby calling upon us to attend for the enquiry on 02.05.2026 at 10.00A.M before the respondent No.3, as arbitrary, illegal and one without power or authority, contrary to the principles laid down by this Hon'ble Court vide Order, dated 08.10.2021 in W.P.No.16458 of 2021 and the provisions of the A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and also the well established legal principles apart from being violative of the fundamental and the Constitutional rights guaranteed to me under Articles, 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently set-aside the same and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant to stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of the notice in Ref.No.REV-DSECOROR(ROR)/82/2025-SA-D2-DSEC- KDPCO, dated 27.04.2026 issued by the respondent No.4, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.V R REDDY KOVVURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondents.

2. This Writ Petition is filed questioning the notice of the 3rd respondent dated 27.04.2026, for the purpose of conducting *suo-moto* enquiry, under Section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 (the Act), with respect to the subject land covered under the said notice dated 27.04.2026.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when similar notice was issued earlier on 10.09.2025, the same was assailed in the earlier round of litigation and this Court directed the authorities therein to treat it as prior show-cause notice before initiating the proceedings under Section 9 of the Act, giving due opportunity to the petitioners therein to submit explanation by disposing of the same *vide* Order dated 24.09.2025. Upon submission of explanation of the petitioner therein, the earlier notice dated 10.09.2025 was withdrawn. Though there is a suit pending before the competent Civil Court in O.S.No.5 of 2025, on the file of the learned Junior Civil Judge, Pulivendula, wherein, the interim orders were also passed, ignoring the same, the impugned notice dated 27.04.2026 was issued by the 3rd respondent again, calling for the enquiry, observing that there is insufficient material evidence.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned notice is contrary to the scope of the enquiry contemplated under Section 9 of the Act. On the other hand, the learned Government Pleader appearing

for the respondents submits that since it is only a notice, the petitioners can submit an explanation to it.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, upon considering the rival submissions made, in view of the Orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.26521 of 2025, dated 24.09.2025, the Orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.16458 of 2021, dated 08.10.2021 and W.P.No.30186 of 2024 dated 20.12.2024, the respondent authorities concerned are directed to treat the impugned notice of the 3rd respondent dated 27.04.2026 as prior show-cause notice and the petitioners shall be permitted to submit additional explanation, if any, enclosing all the necessary documents in support of their claim to the above said notice of the 3rd respondent dated 27.04.2026 and to proceed further in the matter, giving due opportunity of hearing in further proceedings in accordance with law. The petitioner shall cooperate with the necessary enquiry.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

01.05.2026
SCH

201

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

W.P.No.12592 of 2026

Date: 01.05.2026

SCH