



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 8958/2026

Between:

1. N CHAKRAPANI, S/O. N. CHILAKAIAH, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
OCC RETIRED EMPLOYEE R/O. D.NO. 4-160, GOVINDA NAGAR,
TIRUPATI, TIRUPATI DISTRICT.
2. N. LAKSHMI KIRAN,, S/O. N. CHAKRPANI, AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,
OCC SOFTWARE ENGINEER, R/O. D.NO. 4-160, GOVINDA NAGAR,
KARAKAMBADI ROAD TIRUPATI, TIRUPATI DISTRICT

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, A.P. SECRETARIAT,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 2.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, TIRUPATI, TIRUPATI -
DISTRICT. SI/V501 3.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, GAJULA MANDYAM PS,
RENIGUNTA MANDAL, TIRUPATI DISTRICT. 4.
4. P VENKATAIAH, ,S/O. P. MASTHANAIAH, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
OCC BUSINESS, R/O. D.NO. 5-47, MARRIGUNTA VILLAGE,
KOTHAPALEM POST, YELAMANDYAM PANCHAYAT, RENIGUNTA
MANDAL, TIRUPATI DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. M SIVA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed for the following relief:

“...to issue a Writ, in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, direction or order declaring the action of the respondents, especially the 3rd respondent herein in threatening the petitioners with dire consequences and attempting to disposes the petitioners highhandedly from their property land to an extent of Ac.0.02 cents in Sy.No.17/9B Ac.0.02 2 cents in Sy.No.17/10 situated at Marrigunta Village, Renigunta Mandal, Tirupati District and further threatening the petitioners that they would implicate in false cases as illegal, void and in violation of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioners over the said land ...”

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. Mr. M. Siva Kumar, learned counsel for the Petitioners, submits that the Respondent No.3 is threatening the Petitioners with dire consequences, attempting to dispossess them in a highhanded manner, and further threatening to implicate them in false cases.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that the Petitioners were called only for enquiry based on the representation dated 05.01.2026 submitted by one N. Chakrapani to the Superintendent of Police, Tirupati District, without any interference by the Respondent Police in the civil dispute.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is

disposed of directing the Respondent Police not to henceforth interfere in the civil disputes between the Petitioners and the unofficial Respondent.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr.Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 01.05.2026
PRA

133

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 8958 of 2026

Date: 01.05.2026
PRA