

**(SHOW CAUSE FRESH NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI  
(Original Jurisdiction)**



THURSDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF AUGUST,  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE B S BHANUMATHI**

**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2191 OF 2023**

**Between:**

1. Kottapalli Satyanarayana Raju, S/o. Late K. Kasi Viswanadha Raju, Aged about 62 years, R/o. D.No. 20-106, Golla Narayanapuram, Zone-VIII, Ward No. 93, Prahaladapuram, Visakhapatnam - 530 027.

**...Petitioner**

2. Vadlamani Chitti Subrahmanyam, S/o. V. Narayana Swamy, Aged about 55 years, R/o 20-104, Gollanarayanapuram Ward No 93, prahaladapuram, Visakhapatnam.

**...2<sup>nd</sup> Petitioner not necessary**

**AND**

1. Smt. Yavama Rajeswari, W/o. Late Y. Ramakrishna, Aged 59 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o. D.No. 20-105/2, Gollanarayanapuram, Ward No. 72, Prahladapuram, Visakhapatnam-530 027.
2. Smt.YavamaTriveni, D/o.LateY.Ramakrishna, Aged34 years, R/o.D.No.45-55-1/3, Akkayyapalem, Visakhapatnam.
3. Smt. Yavama Lavanya, D/o. Late Y. Ramakrishna, Aged 32 years, R/o. D.No. 45-55-1/3, Akkayyapalem, Visakhapatnam.
4. Yavama Ganesh, S/o. Late Y. Ramakrishna, Aged 30 years, R/o.D.No.20-105/2, Gollanarayanapuram, WardNo.93, Prahladapuram, Visakhapatnam - 500 027.
5. Sri P.Raja Babu, Rep by its Commissiner Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Visakhapatnam.

**...Respondents**

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, above case through his counsel Mr. Paravastu Krishna, praying that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents herein for wilfully and deliberately disobeying the orders in C.R.P.No. 1317 of 2022, dated 18.07.2022;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for orders as to admission on this day and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. Paravastu Krishna, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Sri ASC Bose, Advocate appearing on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 4, directed issuance of Fresh Notice to the respondent No.5, to show cause as to why in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support thereof, the said case should not be admitted.

**THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:**

**“Learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the respondents are present.**

**Since the notice of R-5 was returned unserved, issue fresh notice to R-5 through Court.**

**Post on 24.08.2023."**

You viz:

Sri P.Raja Babu, Rep by its Commissiner Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Visakhapatnam.

be and hereby are directed to show cause, as to why this contempt case should not be admitted, either appearing in person or through Advocate duly instructed on 24.08.2023, to which date the case stands posted for hearing, failing wherein the said case will be heard and determined ex-parte.

**Sd/- M.S.V. NAVIN CHANDRA  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

**//TRUE COPY//**

  
**SECTION OFFICER**

To,

1. The District Judge, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District (By RPAD in duplicate along with a copy of petition and affidavit to serve on Respondent No.5 and to return to this Court before 24.08.2023)
2. Sri P.Raja Babu, Rep by its Commissiner Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Visakhapatnam.(by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
3. One spare copy.

PSD



**HIGH COURT**

**BSB,J**

**DATED:03/08 /2023**

**NOTE: POST ON 24.08.2023**

**FRESH NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION**

**CC.No.2191 of 2023**

