



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 9884/2026

Between:

1.VAKATI. VIJAYAMMA, , W/O. BHASKAR REDDY, AGED
ABOUT 60 YEARS, OCC. HOME MAKER, R/O. MADAMANURU,
MANOBOLU MANDAL, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT- 524004.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-
522238.

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT
NELLORE- 524001.

3.THE TAHSILDAR, MANUBOLU MANDAL SPSR NELLORE
DISTRICT-524405.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a appropriate Writ Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, declaring the action of the Respondent No.3 in interfering with the possession of the Petitioner land to an extent 30 ankanamms/ 200.67 Square Meters in Sy.No. 450 of Madamanuru-B.C.Colony , Manubolu Mandal, SPSR Nellore District and trying to

dispossess the Petitioner from lawful possession and enjoyment as illegal, arbitrary violation of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of the constitution of India. Consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere and dispossess the Petitioner and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents not to interfere with the Petitioner possession and enjoyment of property to an extent 30 ankanamms/ 200.67 Square Meters in Sy.No. 450 of Madamanuru-B.C.Colony, Manubolu Mandal, SPSR Nellore District pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.B SESIBUSHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the possession of the petitioner's land to an extent of 30 Ankanams/ 200.67 Sq. Mtrs. in Sy.No.450 of Madamanuru B.C.Colony, Manubolu Mandal, SPSR Nellore District and trying to dispossess the petitioner from lawful possession and enjoyment.

3. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader relying upon the written instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 17.04.2026 submits that the 3rd respondent has not granted any house patta/ possession certificate to the petitioner in Sy.No.450 of Madamanuru Village. The 3rd respondent has not initiated any action with regard to the land in Sy.No.450 of Madamanuru Village, as it is classified as village site poramboke. The government granted house site patta/ possession certificate to an extent of 18 ankanams in Sy.No.732. The petitioner raised ACC building in the site allotted to her in an extent of 18 ankanams in Sy.No.732. On representation filed by Vajja Sujatha (HIV patient), an extent of 18 ankanams allotted to her in Sy.No.732 and the land is vacant on ground and it is fit for habitation.

The field staff shown the boundaries to Vajja Sujatha (HIV patient) by fixing the stones.

4. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, recording the said written instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 17.04.2026 with specific observation that the 3rd respondent not granted any house site patta/ possession certificate to the petitioner in Sy.No.450 of Madamanuru Village and not initiated any action with regard to the land in Sy.No.450 of Madamanuru Village as it is classified as village site poramboke, no further orders are necessary in this writ petition.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. The written instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 17.04.2026 shall be made as part of the court record. Interim order, if any, deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

20.04.2026
NNN