



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 9037/2026

Between:

1.MPR CONSTRUCTIONS, REP. BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
M.PRASAD REDDY, S/O. M. RAMACHANDRA REDDY AGE 52
YEARS, OCC. CONTRACTOR RIO. D.NO. 4-271, MUDDANUR ROAD,
VILLAGE AND MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS, PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, A.P.
SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.522
238.

2.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS, PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY FINANCE AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT A.P.
SECRETARIAT BUILDING, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.522
238.

3.THE A P STATE EDUCATION AND WELFARE INFRASTRUCTURE
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION APEWIDC, REP BY ITS MANAGING
DIRECTOR, VADDESARAM VILLAGE TADEPALLI MANDAL
GUNTUR DISTRICT.522 501

4.THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, A P STATE EDUCATION
WELFARE INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
APEWIDC KADAPA YSR DISTRICT.516 001

5.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, A P STATE EDUCATION WELFARE
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION APEWIDC
KADAPA YSR DISTRICT. 516 001

6.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KADAPA, KADAPA DISTRICT.516 001

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the admitted final bills to the petitioner for the work pertaining to construction of New School Building with additional infrastructure facilities to ZP High School for Girls at Vemula (V and M) in YSR Kadapa District.for an amount of Rs. 82,08,835/- even after the entries were recorded in M-Book is illegal, arbitrary, malafide, high-handed, and contrary to the procedure established by law apart from being violative of Articles 14 and 19 (1) (g) of the Constitution of India and consequentially direct the respondents to release the admitted final bill amounts of Rs. 82,08,835/- along with interest forthwith in the interest of justice and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.NAVULURU KRISHNA SAI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

2.V CH NAIDU

3.GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING

The Court made the following:

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**WRIT PETITION NO.9037/2026****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed seeking the following main relief:

“declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the admitted final bills to the petitioner for the work pertaining to construction of New School Building with additional infrastructure facilities to ZP High School for Girls at Vemula (V and M) in YSR Kadapa District for an amount of Rs. 82,08,835/- even after the entries were recorded in M-Book is illegal, arbitrary, malafide, high-handed, and contrary to the procedure established by law apart from being violative of Articles 14 and 19 (1) (g) of the Constitution of India and consequentially direct the respondents to release the admitted final bill amounts of Rs. 82,08,835/- along with interest forthwith in the interest of justice and pass”

2. The sum and substance of the writ petition is that the petitioner challenged the inaction of the respondents in not releasing the amounts even after completion of the entire works entrusted to him.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Finance & Planning and Sri L. Radha Krishna, learned counsel representing Sri V.Ch.Naidu, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while reiterating the averments made in the writ affidavit, submits that in view of the non-release of the admitted amounts, the petitioner is facing multifarious problems,

physically and fiscally. Hence, he submits that the release of the amounts is just and essential.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent Corporation, places a copy of the written instructions dated 16.04.2026 issued by the concerned Corporation authorities and submits that bills amount of Rs.69,14,171.87ps only (Rupees Sixty Nine Lakhs Fourteen Thousand One Hundred and Seventy One and Eighty Seven Paise only) is going to be uploaded in NIDHI Module as per the government procedure. He submits that eight months' time may be granted for paying the admitted amounts to the petitioner. He further submits that though the petitioner claimed gross amount of Rs.82,08,835/-, after deductions, petitioner is entitled to an amount of Rs.69,14,171.87ps only (Rupees Sixty Nine Lakhs Fourteen Thousand One Hundred and Seventy One and Eighty Seven Paise only).

6. Since it is not in dispute that the petitioner completed the works and has yet to receive payment, as evident from the written instructions dated 16.04.2026 of the concerned respondent Corporation authority, this Court finds the respondents' failure to pay is unjustified. However, taking into consideration of the ground realities to settle the admitted amounts, the respondents are granted rational time to settle the dues.

7. The respondent Corporation, being the instrumentality of the 'State' within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution of India, is bound to release the amount for the undisputed works done by the petitioner, without any further delay. A mere financial incapacity or paucity of funds cannot be a valid defence for non-fulfilment of such statutory obligations, more particularly, when the works executed by the petitioner are admitted by the respondent Corporation.

8. So far as the interest portion is concerned, the Hon'ble Division Bench in the case of ***Managing Director &Ors. Vs. Sree Balaji Constructions &Ors.*** (Writ Appeal No.60 of 2025) held that the award of interest on delayed payments was unsustainable in the absence of specific terms and conditions of the relevant agreement between the parties, but in the instant case, the learned counsel for the petitioner has not touched on the said point. However, the Hon'ble Division Bench in similar circumstances made it clear that the parties are at liberty to pursue their claims in respect of the interest portion before an appropriate forum in accordance with Law.

9. In the light of the above legal position, coupled with the fact that the liability for the undisputed works was admitted by the respondents, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to release the amount of Rs.69,14,171.87ps only (Rupees Sixty Nine

Lakhs Fourteen Thousand One Hundred and Seventy One and Eighty Seven Paise only) payable to the petitioner, within a period of eight (8) months, from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It is needless to say that the petitioner is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum with regard to interest, if so advised.

There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Date: 29.04.2026
GVK

MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, J

98

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION No.9037 of 2026

Date: 29.04.2026

GVK

