



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 10276/2026

Between:

1. DUMPA KATA RAJASEKHAR, S/ODUMPA (LATE) MUNI SWAMY REDDY, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, R/O DOOR.NO.31-4-47, AMMAVARI STREET, ALLIPURAM, VISAKHAPATNAM - 530004.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.522238

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, VISAKHAPATNAM, DISTRICT AT VISAKHAPATNAM, 53004

3. THE TAHSILDAR, BHEEMUNIPATNAM, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, 53004

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to please to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus Declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the Petitioners land in Sy.No. 67/1 measuring an extent of Ac. 1.40 Cents situated at Kapuluppada Village, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, without notice or due process of law, as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the 3rd Respondent not to interfere with the Petitioners peaceful possession of the subject property except by following the due process of law and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner's land in Sy.No. 67/1 measuring an extent of Ac. 1.40 Cents situated at Kapuluppada Village, BheemunipatnamMandai, Visakhapatnam District, except by following due process of law pending disposal of the above Writ Petition, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.GUMMA ITIHAAS

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

2. This Writ Petition was filed questioning the action of the 3rd respondent in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner's land in Sy.No.67/1, admeasuring an extent of Ac.1.40 cents situated at Kapuluppada Village, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, without issuing notice or following the due process of law.

3. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader, relying upon the written instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 21.04.2026, submits that the alleged D-Form patta said to have been granted in favour of the grandfather of the petitioner is not supported by any valid document. A thorough verification of the available records with the 3rd respondent, no DR files have been found to substantiate the alleged assignment. The petitioner's grandfather's name is not recorded as assignee in the Form-III Register, which is the statutory record maintained for assignment of Government lands. It seems that the said D-Form Patta filed is a fake one. The land in Sy.No.67 is utilized by the Government for implementation of the welfare scheme of housing, intended to provide house sites to the eligible poor beneficiaries. Accordingly, the eligible beneficiaries were issued with the house site pattas and the said individuals are in possession of the land. On verification of the Encumbrance Certificate pertaining to Sy.No.67 of Kapuluppada Village, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, it clearly demonstrates that the subject lands were

earlier allotted to various eligible beneficiaries under the NPI Scheme. Subsequently, conveyance deeds were also executed by the 3rd respondent on behalf of the Government of Andhra Pradesh, thereby, conferring the valid title on all such beneficiaries.

4. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, recording the said written instructions of the 3rd respondent dated 21.04.2026, no relief can be granted in this Writ Petition as there are disputed questions of facts. However, the petitioner is at liberty to work out his remedies in accordance with law, before the appropriate forum concerned, if so aggrieved and if so warranted.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

21.04.2026
SCH

167

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

W.P.No.10276 of 2026

Date: 21.04.2026

SCH