



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3331]**

**MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 8965/2025**

**Between:**

Thota Kasi Visweswara Rao and Others

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

**...RESPONDENT(S)**

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

1. SAI GANGADHAR CHAMARTY

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR ENERGY

**The Court made the following order:**

**W.P.No.8965 of 2025**

Rule *Nisi*. Call for records.

Learned Government Pleader for Energy takes notice on behalf of 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and seeks time to get instructions.

Mrs.K.Adarshitha, learned Junior Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of respondents 2 & 3, offers to file vakalat and seeks time to file counter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to 4<sup>th</sup> respondent by RPAD and file proof of service.

List the matter on 05.05.2025.

---

**SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J**

**I.A.No.1 of 2025**

The above writ petition is filed impugning the proceedings issued by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent vide No.EE/O/T/VJA/APCPDCL/VJA/F/D.No.1214/2024-25, dated 11.01.2025 (Ex.P2) disconnecting the power supply to service connection No.6424402140276 for the premises bearing Door No.61-10/1-8 in R.S.No.43 of Ramalingeswar Nagar, Vijayawada, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has been residing in the Door No.61-10/1-8 of Ramalingeswar Nagar and the power supply to the electricity service for the premises was disconnected. He would also submit that the authorities without verifying the documents, passed the order impugned.

3. Learned Junior Standing Counsel seeks time to file counter.

4. As seen from the material, there is a private dispute between the petitioner and the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent. In fact, a suit O.S.No.98 of 2021 was pending before the competent civil Court.

5. In **Dilip (Dead) Through Lrs. Vs.Satish and Others**<sup>1</sup>, the Hon'ble Apex Court observed thus:

---

<sup>1</sup> 2022 SCC OnLine SC 810

“9. It is now well settled proposition of law that electricity is a basic amenity of which a person cannot be deprived. Electricity cannot be declined to a tenant on the ground of failure/refusal of the landlord to issue no objection certificate. All that the electricity supply authority is required to examine is whether the applicant for electricity connection is in occupation of the premises in question.”

6. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the order impugned dated 11.01.2025 (Ex.P2) is hereby suspended. The 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent shall restore the power supply to the service connection No.6424402140276 for the premises bearing Door No.61-10/1-8 in R.S.No.43 of Ramalingeswar Nagar, Vijayawada forthwith. The petitioner shall pay the necessary charges.

---

**SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J**

PVD