

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF MAY, TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.PRAVEEN KUMAR



IA No. 1 OF 2021
IN
WP NO: 9746 OF 2021

Between:

M/s Value Design, Rep by its proprietor Mrs .Narukurti Himabindu w/o N Sridar R/o Plot No E -9 Above Kotak Mahindra Bank Opp NRI Hospital, Rammohan chambers Seethammadhara Visakhapatnam Andhra Pradesh, Pin. . 53 0016

...Petitioner

AND

1. State Bank of India, Rep by its Chairmen cum Managing Director State Bank of India Bhavan Madam cama Road ,Mumbai 400021
2. The Deputy Genaral Manager, State Bank of India CCO Department ,LHO ,Gunfoundry Abids ,Hyderabad
3. Assisatant General manager, State Bank of India RACPC 1, Ground Floor Administrative Building Balaji Nagar, Siripuram Visakhapatnam Andhra Pradesh, PIN. . 53 0003

...Respondents

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent not to intimate the petitioners name to IBA Caution list and apex bodies like institution of Valuers and Institution of Engineers in pursuance of its notice dt. 5/3/2021, pending disposal of WP No. 9746 of 2021, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of K SRINIVASA RAO Advocate for the Petitioner and of Sri P.Vivek, Advocate, appearing on behalf of Sri K.Ramanna Dora, representing for the Respondents, the court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order: (The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER

“Heard Sri K.Srinivasa Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P.Vivek, learned counsel, representing Sri K.Ramanna Dora, learned counsel for the respondents.

A perusal of the material on record, more particularly, the first notice dated 31.05.2019 shows that though the petitioner has given a valuation report, on subsequent physical verification, it was found variations in actual survey numbers, door numbers and same are appearing in property documents. Their further enquiry revealed that ownership/possession of the property is also not with the applicants/vendors. A reply was also given to the said notice stating that the petitioner has nothing to do with the title of the documents and it can only evaluate the value of property. Similar such notices came to be given subsequently also.

Similar issue came up for consideration before this Court in W.P.No.5809 of 2021, wherein this Court granted interim direction for a period of six weeks.

Since the case on hand is analogous to W.P.No.5809 of 2021, there shall be interim direction as prayed for, for a period of three (3) months.”

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- V. Savithri Gowri
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

For

To,

1. The Chairmen –cum- Managing Director, State Bank of India, State Bank of India Bhavan, Madam Cama Road, Mumbai 400021(By RPAD)
2. The Deputy General Manager, State Bank of India CCO Department, LHO, Gunfoundry Abids, Hyderabad(By RPAD)
3. The Assistant General Manager, State Bank of India RACPC 1, Ground Floor Administrative Building Balaji Nagar, Siripuram Visakhapatnam Andhra Pradesh, PIN. . 53 0003 (By RPAD)
4. One CC to SRI K. SRINIVASA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri K.B.Ramanna Dora, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One spare copy

MSR

HIGH COURT

CPKJ

DATED:18/05/2021

NOTE : LIST ALONG WITH WP 5809 OF 2021

ORDER

**IA NO. 1 OF 2021
IN
WP.NO.9746 OF 2021**



INTERIM DIRECTION